Solutions for Homework 1

MAS501 Analysis for Engineers, Spring 2011

1. A real number r is said to be *algebraic* if r is a root of a polynomial with rational coefficients. If r is not algebraic, r is said to be *transcendental*. Show that the set of all transcendental numbers is uncountable. (It is known that e and π are transcendental.)

Answer: First we claim that the set of all polynomials with rational coefficients is countable.

Proof of Claim. (배영오 씨 답안 기반) Let P_n be the set of all polynomials of degree n with rational coefficients:

$$P_n = \{c_0 + c_1 x + c_2 x^2 + \dots + c_n x^n : c_n \in \mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\} \text{ and } c_0, c_1, \dots, c_{n-1} \in \mathbf{Q}\}.$$

Then $|P_n| = |\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbf{Q}^{n-1}|$. Because a Cartesian product of a finite number of countable sets $\mathbf{Q} \setminus \{0\} \times \mathbf{Q}^{n-1}$ is countable, the set P_n is also countable. Now note that the set of all polynomials with rational coefficients P can be represented as $P = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} P_n$, which is a countable union of countable sets. Hence the set P is countable.

Proof. (여병철 씨 답안 기반) Let A be the set of all algebraic numbers. We know that the set of all polynomials with rational coefficients is countable. Also, since *each such polynomial has a finite number of roots*, the set A is countable. But the real line **R** is uncountable. Hence the set of all transcendental numbers, which is $\mathbf{R} \setminus A$ by definition, must be uncountable.

- 2. Let (Ω_1, d_1) and (Ω_2, d_2) be metric spaces.
 - (a) Prove that $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, d)$ is a metric space, where d is defined by the formula

$$d|(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)| = d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_2(x_2, y_2).$$

The space $(\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2, d)$ is called the *product metric space*.

Answer: We check three conditions a metric should satisfy.

(positivity) $d[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] = d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_2(x_2, y_2) \ge 0$. And the equality holds only when $d_1(x_1, y_1) = d_2(x_2, y_2) = 0$, i.e., when $(x_1, x_2) = (y_1, y_2)$.

(symmetry)

$$d[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] = d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_2(x_2, y_2) = d_1(y_1, x_1) + d_2(y_2, x_2)$$

= $d[(y_1, y_2), (x_1, x_2)].$

(triangle inequality) Let $z_1 \in \Omega_1$ and $z_2 \in \Omega_2$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d\big[(x_1, x_2), (z_1, z_2)\big] &= d_1(x_1, z_1) + d_2(x_2, z_2) \\ &\leq d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_1(y_1, z_1) + d_2(x_2, y_2) + d_2(y_2, z_2) \\ &= d_1(x_1, y_1) + d_2(x_2, y_2) + d_1(y_1, z_1) + d_2(y_2, z_2) \\ &= d\big[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)\big] + d\big[(y_1, y_2), (z_1, z_2)\big]. \end{aligned}$$

(b) Let $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ be the product metric space constructed from the Euclidean space \mathbf{R} . Then obviously the metric of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ is different from the metric of Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^2 . Although, we can prove that any open set of one metric space is also an open set of the other metric space. (This means their topology are same; they have same "nearness".) Prove it. *Proof.* (김준우 씨 답안 기반) Let $d_{\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}}$ be the product metric of the product metric space $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$. Also let $d_{\mathbf{R}^2}$ be the usual metric of the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^2 . Then by definition, we have

$$d_{\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}}[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] = |x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|,$$

$$d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[(x_1, x_2), (y_1, y_2)] = \sqrt{(x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2}$$

for any $x_1, x_2, y_1, y_2 \in \mathbf{R}$. Because

$$(|x_1 - y_1| + |x_2 - y_2|)^2 = (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2 + 2|x_1 - y_1| |x_2 - y_2|$$

$$\ge (x_1 - y_1)^2 + (x_2 - y_2)^2,$$

we have

$$d_{\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}] \geq d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]$$

where $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\mathbf{y} = (y_1, y_2)$. Now observe that for any $a, b \in \mathbf{R}$ it holds that

$$0 \le (a-b)^2 = 2(a^2+b^2) - (a+b)^2$$

hence

$$|a+b| \le \sqrt{2}\sqrt{a^2 + b^2}.$$

Now put $a = |x_1 - y_1|$ and $b = |x_2 - y_2|$ then we have

$$d_{\mathbf{R}\times\mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}] \le \sqrt{2} d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y}]$$

In summary, we've just showed that for any $\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^2$,

$$d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \le d_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] \le \sqrt{2} \, d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}].$$

Using these inequalities, we can easily verify that for any positive real number r, it holds that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] < r\} &\subset \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d_{\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] < \sqrt{2} \, r\} \\ &\subset \{\mathbf{y} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : d_{\mathbf{R}^2}[\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}] < \sqrt{2} \, r\} \end{aligned}$$

This relation means that every open ball of the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^2 centered at some point *contains* an open ball of the product metric space $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ centered at the point and vice versa. Now let \mathcal{O} be an open set in the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^2 . Then by definition, for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}$, there is an open ball of \mathbf{R}^2 centered at \mathbf{x} that is entirely contained in \mathcal{O} . But we just proved that every open ball of \mathbf{R}^2 centered at \mathbf{x} contains an open ball of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ centered at \mathbf{x} . So for every $\mathbf{x} \in \mathcal{O}$ we can find an open ball of $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ centered at \mathbf{x} that is entirely contained in \mathcal{O} . But we just proved this means that the set \mathcal{O} is an open set in $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$. Hence we can conclude that any *open set* of the Euclidean space \mathbf{R}^2 is an open set of the product metric space $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}$ and vice versa. (The proof of converse is similar.)