## Solutions for Homework 3

MAS501 Analysis for Engineers, Spring 2011  $\,$ 

1. Let A and B be nonempty sets of real numbers and assume  $\sup A$  and  $\sup B$  are finite. Prove that

$$\sup(A+B) = \sup A + \sup B,$$

where

$$A + B = \{a + b : a \in A, b \in B\}.$$

What can we say when either of  $\sup A$  or  $\sup B$  is *not* finite?

*Proof.* (이호 씨 답안 기반) For every  $a \in A$ , we have  $a \leq \sup A$ . Also for every  $b \in B$ , it holds that  $b \leq \sup B$ . Hence  $a + b \leq \sup A + \sup B$  for all  $a \in A$  and  $b \in B$  so that  $\sup A + \sup B$  is an upper bound of A + B. Therefore we have

$$\sup(A+B) \le \sup A + \sup B.$$

On the other hand, by theorem 2.4.3, there are two sequences  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  and  $\{b_n\} \subset B$  such that

$$\sup A - \frac{1}{n} < a_n \le \sup A,$$
$$\sup B - \frac{1}{n} < b_n \le \sup B.$$

Because  $a_n + b_n \in A + B$  we have

$$\sup A + \sup B - \frac{2}{n} < a_n + b_n \le \sup(A + B).$$

Now taking the limit  $n \to \infty$  yields

$$\sup A + \sup B \le \sup(A + B)$$

and the proof is complete.

Even if either of  $\sup A$  or  $\sup B$  is not finite, the equality still *holds*. Note that the supremum of a nonempty set cannot be  $-\infty$ . Hence without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\sup A = \infty$ . Then there is a sequence  $\{a_n\} \subset A$  such that  $a_n \to \infty$ . Now let b be a real number in the set B. Then  $a_n + b \to \infty$  and so  $\sup(A + B) = \infty$ . Therefore the equilaty holds;

$$\sup(A+B) = \infty = \sup A + \sup B.$$

- 2. (Product metric space and Cauchy sequence) Let  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  be metric spaces and  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  be the product metric space. (For the definition of product metric space, refer to Homework #1.)
  - (a) Prove that if a sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_1$  and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_2$ . Is the converse true?

*Proof.* (홍비 씨 답안 기반) Because the sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ , by definition, it holds that

$$d_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}[(x_n, y_n), (x_m, y_m)] = d_{\Omega_1}(x_n, x_m) + d_{\Omega_2}(y_n, y_m) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \to \infty.$$

Hence by positivity of the metric, we have  $d_{\Omega_1}(x_n, x_m) \to 0$  as  $n, m \to \infty$  and  $d_{\Omega_2}(y_n, y_m) \to 0$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ . And this implies that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_1$  and the sequence  $\{y_n\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_2$ .

The converse is also *true*. Assume  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_1$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_2$ . Then by definition,  $d_{\Omega_1}(x_n, x_m) \to 0$  as  $n, m \to \infty$  and  $d_{\Omega_2}(y_n, y_m) \to 0$  as  $n, m \to \infty$ . Therefore it holds that

$$d_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}[(x_n, y_n), (x_m, y_m)] = d_{\Omega_1}(x_n, x_m) + d_{\Omega_2}(y_n, y_m) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \to \infty.$$

This implies that the sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  is Cauchy in  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ .

(b) Prove that if  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are complete, then the product metric space  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  is also complete. Is the converse true?

Proof. (옥정슬 씨 답안 기반) Let  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . Then by (a),  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_1$  and  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_2$ . Because  $\Omega_1$  and  $\Omega_2$  are complete, there are two elements  $x \in \Omega_1$  and  $y \in \Omega_2$  such that  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$  and  $y_n \to y$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then it holds that

$$d_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2} \left| (x_n, y_n), (x, y) \right| = d_{\Omega_1}(x_n, x) + d_{\Omega_2}(y_n, y) \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

that is,  $(x_n, y_n) \to (x, y)$ . This means that every Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  is convergent so  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  is complete.

The converse is also *true*. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_1$  and  $\{y_n\}$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_2$ . Then by (a), the sequence  $\{(x_n, y_n)\}$  is also Cauchy in  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$ . Because  $\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  is complete, there is an element  $(x, y) \in \Omega_1 \times \Omega_2$  such that  $(x_n, y_n) \to (x, y)$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Then we have

$$d_{\Omega_1}(x_n, x) \le d_{\Omega_1 \times \Omega_2}[(x_n, y_n), (x, y)] \to 0 \quad \text{as } n \to \infty,$$

that is,  $x_n \to x$  as  $n \to \infty$ . This means that every Cauchy sequence in  $\Omega_1$  is convergent so  $\Omega_1$  is complete. By the same reasoning, we have  $y_n \to y$  as  $n \to \infty$  and so we can conclude that  $\Omega_2$  is also complete.