Well-posedness in anisotropic electrical conductivity reconstruction from three sets of internal current data

Min Gi Lee

Department of Mathematical Sciences, KAIST 335 Gwahangno, Yuseong-gu, Daejeon, 305-701, Republic of Korea

Fax: +82-42-350-5710

email: yongkim@kaist.edu, mg.leemail@gmail.com

June 8, 2012

Abstract.

1. Introduction

2. Well-posedness of isotropic conductivity reconstruction problem

3. Existence and Uniqueness of anisotropic conductivity reconstruction problem

Here, Ω is a simply connected bounded region with smooth boundary.

Lemma 1. Let A(x) be a smooth symmetric matrix field on $\overline{\Omega}$. Assume $A(x) \neq 0$ and det A(x) < 0 for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Then there are two smooth vector field v and w such that $v \neq 0$, $w \neq 0$ and $\langle Av, v \rangle = \langle Aw, w \rangle = 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. Furthermore, if $\langle A(x)V, V \rangle = 0$ for some V at x, then V is a scalar multiple of v(x) or w(x).

Proof. Let's write A(x) with entries $\begin{pmatrix} a(x) & b(x) \\ b(x) & c(x) \end{pmatrix}$, then $a(x)c(x)-b(x)^2 < 0$

by assumption.

If $V = (V_1, V_2)$ and $\langle A(x)V, V \rangle = 0$ then

$$a(x)V_1^2 + 2a(x)b(x)V_1V_2 + c(x)V_2^2 = 0.$$
 (1)

Let's define two smooth vector field v and w as

$$v := \left(-b - b\sqrt{1 - \frac{ac}{b^2}}, a\right), \quad w := \left(c, -b - b\sqrt{1 - \frac{ac}{b^2}}\right).$$
 (2)

Then we can check v(x) and w(x) are two non-trivial linearly independent solutions of (1) for each x. If $a(x) \neq 0$,

$$\left(\frac{-b+b\sqrt{1-\frac{ac}{b^2}}}{a}\right)v(x) = \left(c, -b+b\sqrt{1-\frac{ac}{b^2}}\right) \neq w(x).$$

Unless if $c(x) \neq 0$,

$$\left(\frac{-b+b\sqrt{1-\frac{ac}{b^2}}}{c}\right)w(x) = \left(-b+b\sqrt{1-\frac{ac}{b^2}},a\right) \neq v(x).$$

If both a(x) = c(x) = 0, by assumption $b(x) \neq 0$ and

$$v(x) = (-2b, 0), \quad w(x) = (0, -2b).$$

Therefore $v(x) \neq 0$ and $w(x) \neq 0$ for all $x \in \overline{\Omega}$ and are linearly independent. Finally, suppose any V satisfies (1). If $a(x) \neq 0$, then $V_1/V_2 = \frac{-b\pm\sqrt{b^2-ac}}{a}$, so we have only two possible ratios of components that are the ratios of v(x) and w(x). $c(x) \neq 0$ or $a(x) = c(x) = 0, b(x) \neq 0$ case can be similarly proved.

Definition 1 (Admissibility). The data of three smooth vector fields $(\mathbf{J}_1, \mathbf{J}_2, on \overline{\Omega} \text{ is admissible if following properties are satisfied.}$

- 1. $(\mathbf{J}_1, \mathbf{J}_2, \mathbf{J}_3)$ are all divergence free so that there exist three smooth streamfunction (ψ_1, ψ_2, ψ_3) .
- 2. The map $(\xi(x,y),\eta(x,y)) := (\psi_2(x,y),-\psi_1(x,y))$ are globally defined diffeomorphism between $\overline{\Omega}$ and its image.
- 3. The hessian of ψ_3 in (ξ, η) coordinate chart, the $D^2\psi_3 \neq 0$ and det $D^2\psi_3 < 0$ for all (ξ, η) .
- Two vector fields defined by matrix field D²ψ₃ as in Lemma 1 are admissible in the sense of definition @@@.

Definition 2. $\Gamma_v^- := \Gamma^-$ for vector field v in the definition @@@ and $\Gamma_w^- := \Gamma^-$ for vector field w.

Theorem 1. blabla

From Ohm's Law,

$$\begin{pmatrix} -\psi_y \\ \psi_x \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} u_x \\ u_y, \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} \partial_y \eta & -\partial_y \xi \\ -\partial_x \eta & \partial_x \xi \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_\eta \\ \psi_\xi \end{pmatrix} = \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \partial_x \xi & \partial_x \eta \\ \partial_y \xi & \partial_y \eta \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_\xi \\ u_\eta, \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} -\psi_\eta \\ \psi_\xi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{1}{\xi_x \eta_y - \eta_x \xi_y} \begin{pmatrix} \xi_x & \xi_y \\ \eta_x & \eta_y \end{pmatrix} \sigma \begin{pmatrix} \xi_x & \eta_x \\ \xi_y & \eta_y \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_\xi \\ u_\eta, \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\begin{pmatrix} -\psi_\eta \\ \psi_\xi \end{pmatrix} = \frac{W^t \sigma W}{\det W} \begin{pmatrix} u_\xi \\ u_\eta, \end{pmatrix}$$

Lemma 2. Let (ξ, η) be a diffeomorphism as in the definition for an admissible data $(\mathbf{J}^1, \mathbf{J}^2, \mathbf{J}^3)$. Let's define a matrix field $S := \frac{W\sigma W^t}{\det W}$, where $W := \begin{pmatrix} \xi_x & \xi_y \\ \eta_x & \eta_y \end{pmatrix}$ is a jacobian matrix of the diffeomorphism. Then in this chart, we have another set of Ohm's laws,

$$S\begin{pmatrix}u_{\xi}^{1}\\u_{\eta}^{1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-\psi_{\eta}^{1}\\\psi_{\xi}^{1}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}1\\0\end{pmatrix},$$
$$S\begin{pmatrix}u_{\xi}^{2}\\u_{\eta}^{2}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-\psi_{\eta}^{2}\\\psi_{\xi}^{2}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}0\\1\end{pmatrix},$$
$$S\begin{pmatrix}u_{\xi}^{3}\\u_{\eta}^{3}\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}-\psi_{\eta}^{3}\\\psi_{\xi}^{3}\end{pmatrix}.$$

Lemma 3. For an admissible data $(\mathbf{J}^1, \mathbf{J}^2, \mathbf{J}^3)$ define S as in the Lemma 2 and define $R := S^{-1}$. Then there is a scalar $\phi(\xi, \eta)$ such that $R = D'^2 \phi$ and ϕ is a solution of following 2nd order linear equation

$$\psi_{\eta\eta}\phi_{\xi\xi} - 2\psi_{\xi\eta}\phi_{\xi\eta} + \psi_{\xi\xi}\phi_{\eta\eta} = 0 \tag{3}$$

and the equation is hyperbolic.

Proof. By Lemma 2,

$$S\begin{pmatrix} u_{\xi}^{1} & u_{\xi}^{2} \\ u_{\eta}^{1} & u_{\eta}^{2} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{pmatrix} u_{\xi}^{1} & u_{\xi}^{2} \\ u_{\eta}^{1} & u_{\eta}^{2} \end{pmatrix} = R.$$

Since S and R is symmetric, $u_{\xi}^2 = u_{\eta}^1$, i.e. the vector field (u^1, u^2) is a gradient field. Therefore there is a ϕ such that $u^1 = \phi_{\xi}$ and $u^2 = \phi_{\eta}$ and hence $R = D'^2 \phi$.

Applying this R into third Ohm's law, we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} u_{\xi}^{3} \\ u_{\eta}^{3} \end{pmatrix} = D'^{2}\phi \begin{pmatrix} -\psi_{\eta} \\ \psi_{\xi} \end{pmatrix}.$$

By applying $\nabla \times$ to above equation we have

$$0 = \psi_{\eta\eta}\phi_{\xi\xi} - 2\psi_{\xi\eta}\phi_{\xi\eta} + \psi_{\xi\xi}\phi_{\eta\eta} + \psi_{\eta}(\phi_{\xi\xi\eta} - \phi_{\xi\eta\xi}) + \psi_{\xi}(-\phi_{\xi\eta\eta} + \phi_{\eta\eta}\xi)$$
$$= \psi_{\eta\eta}\phi_{\xi\xi} - 2\psi_{\xi\eta}\phi_{\xi\eta} + \psi_{\xi\xi}\phi_{\eta\eta}.$$

This equation for ϕ is 2nd order hyperbolic because det $D'^2 \psi = \psi_{\xi\xi} \psi \eta \eta - \psi_{\xi\eta}^2 < 0$ by assumption.

Theorem 2. Suppose the entries of the symmetric positive matrix field $\sigma(x)$ are in $C^{2,\alpha}(\overline{\Omega})$. Then there are explicit choices of three Neumann condition g_1, g_2, g_3 on $\partial\Omega$ such that the current density $\mathbf{J}_1, \mathbf{J}_2, \mathbf{J}_3$ generated from the solution of equation @@@ with the boundary conditions are admissible.

Lemma 4. Let $\gamma(\ell) : [0,4] \longrightarrow \partial \Omega$ be an embedding of $\partial \Omega$ and assume ℓ is an arc length. Define smooth functions $G_1(\ell), G_2(\ell)$ such that

$$G_{1} = \begin{cases} 0, & \epsilon \leq \ell \leq 1 - \epsilon, \\ -\ell, & 1 \leq \ell \leq 2, \\ -1, & 2 + \epsilon \leq \ell \leq 3 - \epsilon, \\ \ell - 4, & 3 \leq \ell \leq 4, \end{cases} \quad G_{2} = \begin{cases} \ell, & 0 \leq \ell \leq 1, \\ 0, & 1 + \epsilon \leq \ell \leq 2 - \epsilon, \\ 3 - \ell, & 2 \leq \ell \leq 3, \\ 0, & 3 + \epsilon \leq \ell \leq 4 - \epsilon. \end{cases}$$

 G_1 and G_2 are monotone where it is not defined by above. Let ψ_1 and ψ_2 be



a solution of the equation @@@ with boundary condition G_1 and G_2 each. Let $B := [0,1] \times [0,1]$. Then the map $W := (\xi(x,y), \eta(x,y)) := (\psi_2, -\psi_1) :$ $\overline{\Omega} \longrightarrow \overline{B}$ is a bi-Lipchitz, and $W|_{\Omega} : \Omega \longrightarrow B$ is a C^1 -diffeomorphism.

Proof. First we claim that $\nabla \psi_1 \neq 0$, $\nabla \psi_2 \neq 0$ and $\nabla \psi_1 \times \nabla \psi_2 \neq 0$.

Lemma 5. Let $G_3 = \xi^2 - \eta^2$ on \overline{B} and ψ be a solution of the equation @@@ with boundary condition $\psi = G_3$ on ∂B . Then $D^2\psi \neq 0$ in \overline{B} and $\det D^2\psi < 0$ in \overline{B} . **Lemma 6.** Let ψ be a function defined in lemma @@@ and \mathbf{F}_1 and \mathbf{F}_2 be two vector fields associated with $D^2\psi$ as in lemma @@@. Then \mathbf{F}_1 and \mathbf{F}_2 are admissible in the sense of Def @@@.

Proof. a

4. Numerical reconstruction strategies : Network method and Potential method