ABSOLUTELY CONTINUOUS SPECTRUM OF A POLYHARMONIC OPERATOR WITH A LIMIT PERIODIC POTENTIAL IN DIMENSION TWO.

YULIA KARPESHINA AND YOUNG-RAN LEE

ABSTRACT. We consider a polyharmonic operator $H = (-\Delta)^l + V(x)$ in dimension two with $l \ge 6$, l being an integer, and a limit-periodic potential V(x). We prove that the spectrum contains a semiaxis of absolutely continuous spectrum.

1. INTRODUCTION.

We study an operator

$$H = (-\Delta)^l + V(x) \tag{1}$$

in two dimensions, where $l \ge 6$ is an integer and V(x) is a limit-periodic potential

$$V(x) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} V_r(x).$$
(2)

Here $\{V_r\}_{r=1}^{\infty}$ is a family of periodic potentials with doubling periods and decreasing L_{∞} -norms, namely, V_r has orthogonal periods $2^{r-1}\vec{\beta_1}$, $2^{r-1}\vec{\beta_2}$ and

$$\|V_r\|_{\infty} < \hat{C}exp(-2^{\eta r}) \tag{3}$$

for some $\eta > 2 + 64/(2l - 11)$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $\hat{C} = 1$, $\vec{\beta}_1 = (\beta_1, 0), \vec{\beta}_2 = (0, \beta_2)$ and $\int_{Q_r} V_r(x) dx = 0, Q_r$ being the elementary cell of periods corresponding to $V_r(x)$.

The one-dimensional analog of (1), (2) with l = 1 is already thoroughly investigated. It is proven in [1]–[7] that the spectrum of the operator $H_1u = -u'' + Vu$ is generically a Cantor type set. It has positive Lebesgue measure [1, 6]. The spectrum is absolutely continuous [1, 2], [5]–[9]. Generalized eigenfunctions can be represented in the form of $e^{ikx}u(x)$, u(x) being limit-periodic [5, 6, 7]. The case of a complex-valued potential is studied in [10]. Integrated density of states is investigated in [11]–[14]. Properties of eigenfunctions of discrete multidimensional limit-periodic Schrödinger operators are studied in [15]. As to the continuum multidimensional case, it is proved [14] that the integrated density of states for (1) is the limit of densities of states for periodic operators. A particular case of a periodic operator ($V_r = 0$ when $r \ge 2$) for dimensions $d \ge 2$ and different l is already studied well, e.g., see [16] – [31]. Here we prove that the spectrum of (1), (2) contains a semiaxis of absolutely continuous spectrum. This paper is based on [32]. We proved the following results for the case d = 2, $l \ge 6$ in [32].

(1) The spectrum of the operator (1), (2) contains a semiaxis $[\lambda_*(V), \infty)$. A proof of the analogous result by different means can be found in [33]. The more general case 8l > d+3, $d \neq 1 \pmod{4}$, is considered in [33], however, under the additional

Date: May 21, 2008, AC08.tex.

Research partially supported by USNSF Grant DMS-0201383.

restriction on the potential: the lattices of periods of all periodic potentials V_r have to contain a nonzero vector $\vec{\gamma}$ in common, i.e., V(x) is periodic in one direction.

(2) There are generalized eigenfunctions $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$, corresponding to the semiaxis, which are close to plane waves: for every \vec{k} in a subset \mathcal{G}_{∞} of \mathbb{R}^2 , there is a solution $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ of the equation $H\Psi_{\infty} = \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k})\Psi_{\infty}$, which can be described by the formula

$$\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k},\vec{x}) = e^{i\langle \vec{k},\vec{x}\rangle} \left(1 + u_{\infty}(\vec{k},\vec{x})\right),\tag{4}$$

$$\|u_{\infty}\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} \stackrel{=}{\underset{|\vec{k}| \to \infty}{=}} O\left(|\vec{k}|^{-\gamma_1}\right), \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \tag{5}$$

where $u_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ is a limit-periodic function

$$u_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x}) = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty} u_r(\vec{k}, \vec{x}),$$
(6)

 $u_r(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ being periodic with periods $2^{r-1}\vec{\beta_1}$, $2^{r-1}\vec{\beta_2}$. The eigenvalue $\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k})$ corresponding to $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ is close to $|\vec{k}|^{2l}$:

$$\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k}) = |\vec{k}|^{2l} + O\left(|\vec{k}|^{-\gamma_2}\right), \quad \gamma_2 > 0.$$

$$\tag{7}$$

The "non-resonance" set \mathcal{G}_{∞} of vectors \vec{k} , for which (4) - (7) hold, is a Cantor type set $\mathcal{G}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_n$, where $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets in \mathbb{R}^2 . Each \mathcal{G}_n has a finite number of holes in each bounded region. More and more holes appear as *n* increases; however, holes added at each step are of smaller and smaller size. The set \mathcal{G}_{∞} satisfies the estimate

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\infty} \cap \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{R}}| \underset{R \to \infty}{=} |\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{R}}| (1 + O(R^{-\gamma_3})), \quad \gamma_3 > 0,$$
(8)

where $\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{R}}$ is the disk of radius R centered at the origin and $|\cdot|$ is Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^2 .

(3) The set $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$, defined as a level (isoenergetic) set for $\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k})$,

$$\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda) = \left\{ \vec{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty} : \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k}) = \lambda \right\},$$

is shown to be a slightly distorted circle with an infinite number of holes. It can be described by the formula

$$\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda) = \left\{ \vec{k} : \vec{k} = \varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda, \vec{\nu})\vec{\nu}, \ \vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda) \right\},\tag{9}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ is a subset of the unit circle S_1 . The set $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ can be interpreted as the set of possible directions of propagation for almost plane waves (4). The set $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ has a Cantor type structure and an asymptotically full measure on S_1 as $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$L(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)) = 2\pi + O\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_3/2l}\right), \qquad (10)$$

here and below $L(\cdot)$ is a length of a curve. The value $\varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda, \vec{\nu})$ in (9) is the "radius" of $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ in a direction $\vec{\nu}$. The function $\varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda, \vec{\nu}) - \lambda^{1/2l}$ describes the

deviation of $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ from the perfect circle of the radius $\lambda^{1/2l}$. It is shown that the deviation is small

$$\varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda,\vec{\nu}) \underset{\lambda \to \infty}{=} \lambda^{1/2l} + O\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_4}\right), \quad \gamma_4 > 0.$$
(11)

The set G_{∞} is the union of isoenergetic curves $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ over all sufficiently large λ :

$$G_{\infty} = \bigcup_{\lambda > \lambda_*(V)} \mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda).$$
(12)

In this paper, we use the results of [32] to prove absolute continuity of the branch of the spectrum (the semiaxis) corresponding to $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$.

The following is a brief review of the technique used in [32], where we develop a modification of the Kolmogorov-Arnold-Moser (KAM) method to prove the results listed above. The paper [32] is inspired by [34, 35, 36], where the method is used for periodic problems. In [34], KAM method is applied to classical Hamiltonian systems. In [35, 36], the technique developed in [34] is applied for semiclassical approximation for multidimensional periodic Schrödinger operators at high energies.

In [32], we consider a sequence of operators

$$H_0 = (-\Delta)^l, \qquad H^{(n)} = H_0 + \sum_{r=1}^{M_n} V_r, \quad n \ge 1, \ M_n \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$
 (13)

Obviously, $||H - H^{(n)}|| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ and $H^{(n)} = H^{(n-1)} + W_n$, where $W_n = \sum_{r=M_{n-1}+1}^{M_n} V_r$. We treat each operator $H^{(n)}$, $n \ge 1$, as a perturbation of the previous operator $H^{(n-1)}$, $H^{(0)} = H_0$. Each operator $H^{(n)}$ is periodic; however, the periods go to infinity as $n \to \infty$. We show that there exists $\lambda_* = \lambda_*(V)$ such that the semiaxis $[\lambda_*, \infty)$ is contained in the spectra of all operators $H^{(n)}$. For every operator $H^{(n)}$, there is a set of eigenfunctions (corresponding to the semiaxis) close to plane waves: for every \vec{k} in an extensive open subset \mathcal{G}_n of \mathbb{R}^2 , there is a solution $\Psi_n(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ of the differential equation $H^{(n)}\Psi_n = \lambda^{(n)}\Psi_n$, which can be represented by the formula

$$\Psi_n(\vec{k}, \vec{x}) = e^{i\langle \vec{k}, \vec{x} \rangle} \left(1 + \tilde{u}_n(\vec{k}, \vec{x}) \right), \quad \|\tilde{u}_n\|_{L_\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)} = O(|\vec{k}|^{-\gamma_1}), \quad \gamma_1 > 0, \tag{14}$$

where $\tilde{u}_n(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ has periods $2^{M_n-1}\vec{\beta}_1, 2^{M_n-1}\vec{\beta}_2$.¹ The corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{k})$ is close to $|\vec{k}|^{2l}$:

$$\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{k}) = |\vec{k}|^{2l} + O\left(|\vec{k}|^{-\gamma_2}\right), \quad \gamma_2 > 0.$$
(15)

The asymptotic is differentiable in \vec{k} :

$$\nabla\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{k}) = 2l|\vec{k}|^{2l-2}\vec{k} + O\left(|\vec{k}|^{-\gamma_2'}\right), \quad \gamma_2' > 0.$$
(16)

The non-resonance set \mathcal{G}_n is shown to be extensive in \mathbb{R}^2 :

$$|\mathcal{G}_n \cap \mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{R}}| = |\mathbf{B}_{\mathbf{R}}| (1 + O(R^{-\gamma_3})).$$
(17)

Estimates (14) – (17) are uniform in n. The set $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$ is defined as the level (isoenergetic) set for non-resonant eigenvalue $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{k})$:

$$\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda) = \left\{ \vec{k} \in \mathcal{G}_n : \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{k}) = \lambda \right\}.$$
(18)

 ${}^1\tilde{u}_n(\vec{k},\vec{x}) = \sum_{r=M_{n-1}+1}^{M_n} u_r(\vec{k},\vec{x}),\, u_r(\vec{k},\vec{x})$ being in (6).



FIGURE 1. Distorted circle with holes, $\mathcal{D}_1(\lambda)$

FIGURE 2. Distorted circle with holes, $\mathcal{D}_2(\lambda)$

This set is shown to be a slightly distorted circle with a finite number of holes (see Figs. 1, 2), the set $\mathcal{D}_1(\lambda)$ being strictly inside the circle of the radius $\lambda^{1/2l}$ for sufficiently large λ . The set $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$ can be described by the formula

$$\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda) = \left\{ \vec{k} : \vec{k} = \varkappa_n(\lambda, \vec{\nu})\vec{\nu}, \ \vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda) \right\},\tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ is a subset of the unit circle S_1 . The set $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ can be interpreted as the set of possible directions of propagation for almost plane waves (14). It has an asymptotically full measure on S_1 as $\lambda \to \infty$:

$$L(\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)) \underset{\lambda \to \infty}{=} 2\pi + O\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_3/2l}\right).$$
⁽²⁰⁾

The set $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ has only a finite number of holes; however, their number grows with n. More and more holes of a smaller and smaller size are removed at each step. The value $\varkappa_n(\lambda, \vec{\nu}) - \lambda^{1/2l}$ gives the deviation of $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$ from the circle of the radius $\lambda^{1/2l}$ in the direction $\vec{\nu}$. It is shown that the deviation is asymptotically small:

$$\varkappa_n(\lambda,\vec{\nu}) = \lambda^{1/2l} + O\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_4}\right), \quad \frac{\partial \varkappa_n(\lambda,\vec{\nu})}{\partial \varphi} = O\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_5}\right), \quad \gamma_4, \gamma_5 > 0, \tag{21}$$

 φ being an angular variable,

$$\vec{\nu} = (\cos\varphi, \sin\varphi), \quad \varphi \in [0, 2\pi).$$

Estimates (20), (21) are uniform in n. The following relation holds:

$$\mathcal{G}_n = \bigcup_{\lambda > \lambda_*(V)} \mathcal{D}_n(\lambda).$$
⁽²²⁾

At each step, more and more points are excluded from the non-resonance sets \mathcal{G}_n ; thus, $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets. The set \mathcal{G}_{∞} is proven to be the limit set: $\mathcal{G}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_n$. It has an infinite number of holes, but nevertheless satisfies the relation (8). For every $\vec{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$ and every n, there is a generalized eigenfunction of $H^{(n)}$ of the type (14). It is shown that the sequence $\Psi_n(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ has a limit in $L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ when $\vec{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$. The function $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x}) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \Psi_n(\vec{k}, \vec{x})$ is a generalized eigenfunction of H. It can be written in the form (4) – (6). Naturally, the corresponding eigenvalue $\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k})$ is the limit of $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{k})$ as $n \to \infty$. It is shown that $\{\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a decreasing sequence of sets at each step more and more directions being excluded. We consider the limit $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ of $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$,

$$\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda) = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda).$$

This set has a Cantor type structure on the unit circle. It is shown that $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ has asymptotically full measure on the unit circle (see (10)). We prove that the sequence $\varkappa_n(\lambda, \vec{\nu}), n = 1, 2, ...,$ describing the isoenergetic curves $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$, converges rapidly (super exponentially) as $n \to \infty$. Hence, $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ can be described as the limit of $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$ in the sense of (9), where $\varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda, \vec{\nu}) = \lim_{n\to\infty} \varkappa_n(\lambda, \vec{\nu})$ for every $\vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$. It is shown that the derivatives $\frac{\partial \varkappa_n(\lambda, \vec{\nu})}{\partial \varphi}$ have a limit as $n \to \infty$ for every $\vec{\nu} \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}(\lambda)$. We denote this limit by $\frac{\partial \varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda, \vec{\nu})}{\partial \varphi}$. Using (21), we prove that

$$\frac{\partial \varkappa_{\infty}(\lambda, \vec{\nu})}{\partial \varphi} = O\left(\lambda^{-\gamma_5}\right). \tag{23}$$

Thus, the limit curve $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ has a tangent vector in spite of its Cantor type structure, the tangent vector being the limit of corresponding tangent vectors for $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$ as $n \to \infty$. The curve $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ looks like a slightly distorted circle with infinite number of holes.

The main technical difficulty overcome in [32] is the construction of non-resonance sets $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ for every fixed sufficiently large λ , $\lambda > \lambda_*(V)$, where λ_* is the same for all n. The set $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ is obtained by deleting a "resonant" part from $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda)$. The definition of $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ includes Bloch eigenvalues of $H^{(n-1)}$. To describe $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$, one has to use not only non-resonant eigenvalues of type (7), but also resonant eigenvalues, for which no suitable formulas are known. The absence of formulas causes difficulties in estimating the size of $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$. To deal with this problem we use angular variable φ . We show that the resonant set $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ can be described as the zero set of a determinant

$$\det(I + A_{n-1}(\varphi)),\tag{24}$$

 $A_{n-1}(\varphi)$ being a trace type operator,

$$I + A_{n-1}(\varphi) = \left(H^{(n-1)}\left(\vec{\varkappa}_{n-1}(\varphi) + \vec{b}\right) - (\lambda + \epsilon)I\right) \left(H_0\left(\vec{\varkappa}_{n-1}(\varphi) + \vec{b}\right) + \lambda I\right)^{-1},$$

where $\vec{\varkappa}_{n-1}(\varphi)$ is a vector-valued function describing $\mathcal{D}_{n-1}(\lambda)$: $\vec{\varkappa}_{n-1}(\varphi) = \varkappa_{n-1}(\lambda, \vec{\nu})\vec{\nu}$. To obtain $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ we take all the zeros of (24) for all values of ϵ in a small interval and vectors \vec{b} in a finite set, $\vec{b} \neq 0$. To estimate the size of $\mathcal{B}_{n-1}(\lambda) \setminus \mathcal{B}_n(\lambda)$ we extend our considerations to a complex neighborhood Φ_0 of $[0, 2\pi)$. We show that the determinants are analytic functions of φ in Φ_0 , and, thus, reduce the problem of estimating the size of the resonance set to a problem in complex analysis. We use theorems for analytic functions to count the zeros of the determinants and to investigate how far zeros move when ϵ changes. This enables us to estimate the size of the zero set of the determinants and hence the size of the non-resonance set $\Phi_n \subset \Phi_0$, which is defined as a non-zero set for the determinants. Proving that the non-resonance set Φ_n is sufficiently large, we obtain estimates (17) for \mathcal{G}_n and (20) for \mathcal{B}_n , the set \mathcal{B}_n being the intersection of Φ_n with the real line. To obtain Φ_n we delete from Φ_0 more and more holes of smaller and smaller radii at each step. Thus, the non-resonance set $\Phi_n \subset \Phi_0$ has the structure of Swiss Cheese. We call deleting the resonance set from Φ_0 at each step of the recurrent procedure "Swiss Cheese Method". The essential difference of our method from those applied earlier in similar situations (see, e.g., [34, 35, 36]) is that

we construct a non-resonance set not only in the whole space of a parameter ($\vec{k} \in \mathbb{R}^2$ here), but also on the isoenergetic curves $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda)$ in the space of parameter when λ is sufficiently large. Estimates for the size of non-resonant sets on a curve require more subtle technical considerations than those sufficient for description of a non-resonant set in the whole space of the parameter.

In the next section, using information obtained in [32], we prove absolute continuity of the branch of the spectrum $[\lambda_*(V), \infty)$ corresponding to the functions $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{k}, \vec{x}), \vec{k} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$. Absolute continuity, roughly speaking, follows from the fact that the area between isoenergetic curves $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda)$ and $\mathcal{D}_{\infty}(\lambda + \epsilon)$ (integrated density of states) is proportional to ϵ .

Note that generalization of results from the case $l \ge 6$, l being an integer, to the case of rational l satisfying the same inequality is relatively simple; it requires just slightly more careful technical considerations. The restriction $l \ge 6$ is also technical, though it is more difficult to lift. The condition $l \ge 6$ is needed only for the first two steps of the recurrent procedure in [32]. The requirement for super-exponential decay of $||V_r||$ as $r \to \infty$ is more essential than $l \ge 6$ since it is needed to ensure convergence of the recurrent procedure. It is not essential that potentials V_r have doubling periods; periods of the type $q^{r-1}\vec{\beta_1}, q^{r-1}\vec{\beta_2}, q \in \mathbb{N}$, can be treated in the same way.

The periodic case $(V_r = 0, \text{ when } r \ge 2)$ is already carefully investigated for dimensions $d \ge 2$ and different l [16]–[31]. For briefness, we mention here only results for dimension two. Absolute continuity of the whole spectrum is proven in [16] for l = 1, however the proof can be extended for higher integers l. Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture is first proved for d = 2, l = 1 in [17], [18] and for $l \ge 1$ in [21]. The perturbation formulas for eigenvalues are constructed in [20]. The formulas for eigenfunctions and the corresponding isoenergetic surfaces are obtained in [21].

2. Proof of Absolute Continuity of the Spectrum

2.1. **Projections** $E_n(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, $\mathcal{G}'_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n$. Let us consider the open sets \mathcal{G}_n given by (22). There is a family of Bloch eigenfunctions $\Psi_n(\vec{z}, x)$, $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{G}_n$,² of the operator $H^{(n)}$, which are described by the perturbation formulas (14). Let \mathcal{G}'_n be a Lebesgue measurable subset of \mathcal{G}_n . We consider the spectral projection $E_n(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ of $H^{(n)}$, corresponding to functions $\Psi_n(\vec{z}, x)$, $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{G}'_n$. By [38], $E_n(\mathcal{G}'_n) : L_2(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ can be presented by the formula:

$$E_n\left(\mathcal{G}_n'\right)F = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_n'} \left(F, \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa})\right) \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}) d\vec{\varkappa}$$
(25)

for any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, here and below (\cdot, \cdot) is the canonical scalar product in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, i.e.,

$$(F, \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa})) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} F(x) \overline{\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}, x)} dx$$

The above formula can be rewritten in the form:

$$E_n \left(\mathcal{G}'_n \right) = S_n \left(\mathcal{G}'_n \right) T_n \left(\mathcal{G}'_n \right), \qquad (26)$$
$$T_n : C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \to L_2 \left(\mathcal{G}'_n \right), \qquad S_n : L_\infty \left(\mathcal{G}'_n \right) \to L_2(\mathbb{R}^2),$$
$$T_n F = \frac{1}{2\pi} \left(F, \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}) \right) \quad \text{for any } F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2), \qquad (27)$$

²We use \vec{z} in this section instead of \vec{k} (see (4) and further) to be consistent with notations in [32].

 $T_n F$ being in $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, and,

$$S_n f = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{G}'_n} f(\vec{\varkappa}) \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}, x) d\vec{\varkappa} \text{ for any } f \in L_\infty(\mathcal{G}'_n).$$
(28)

By [38],

$$||T_n F||_{L_2(\mathcal{G}'_n)} \le ||F||_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$$

on $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and

$$\|S_n f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le \|f\|_{L_2(\mathcal{G}'_n)}$$

on $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$. Hence T_n , S_n can be extended by continuity from $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, $L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ to $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $L_2(\mathcal{G}'_n)$, respectively. Thus the operator $E_n(\mathcal{G}'_n)$ is described by (26) in the whole space $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Let us introduce new coordinates (λ_n, φ) in \mathcal{G}_n : $\lambda_n = \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{z}), \ (\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi) = \frac{\vec{z}}{|\vec{z}|}.$

Lemma 1. Every point $\vec{\varkappa}$ in \mathcal{G}_n is represented by a unique pair (λ_n, φ) , $\lambda_n > \lambda_*$, $\varphi \in [0, 2\pi)$,

$$\vec{\varkappa}(\lambda_n,\varphi) = \varkappa_n(\lambda_n,\vec{\nu})\vec{\nu}, \quad \vec{\nu} = (\cos\varphi,\sin\varphi), \tag{29}$$

 $\varkappa_n(\lambda_n, \vec{\nu})$ being the "radius" of the isoenergetic curve $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda_n)$ in the direction $\vec{\nu}$.

Proof. Obviously, for every $\vec{\varkappa}$ in \mathcal{G}_n , there exists a pair (λ_n, φ) such that $\lambda_n = \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa})$ and that $(\cos \varphi, \sin \varphi) = \frac{\vec{\varkappa}}{|\vec{\varkappa}|}$. For uniqueness, suppose there are two points $\vec{\varkappa}_1, \vec{\varkappa}_2$ corresponding to (λ_n, φ) , i.e., $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}_1) = \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}_2) = \lambda_n$ and $\frac{\vec{\varkappa}_1}{|\vec{\varkappa}_1|} = \frac{\vec{\varkappa}_2}{|\vec{\varkappa}_2|} = \vec{\nu}$. The former means that both $\vec{\varkappa}_1$ and $\vec{\varkappa}_2$ belong to $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda_n)$. The curve $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda_n)$ is parameterized by φ , therefore, $\vec{\varkappa}_1 = \vec{\varkappa}_2$. Formula (29) follows from the relation $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}) = \lambda_n$, which is the definition of the curve $\mathcal{D}_n(\lambda_n)$, and formula (19).

For any function $f(\vec{z})$ integrable on \mathcal{G}_n , we use the new coordinates and write

$$\begin{split} \int_{\mathcal{G}_n} f(\vec{\varkappa}) d\vec{\varkappa} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \chi\left(\mathcal{G}_n, \vec{\varkappa}\right) f(\vec{\varkappa}) d\vec{\varkappa} \\ &= \int_{\lambda_*}^{\infty} \int_0^{2\pi} \chi\left(\mathcal{G}_n, \vec{\varkappa}(\lambda_n, \varphi)\right) f\left(\vec{\varkappa}(\lambda_n, \varphi)\right) \frac{\varkappa_n(\lambda_n, \vec{\nu})}{\frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial \varkappa}} d\varphi \ d\lambda_n, \end{split}$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{G}_n, \vec{\varkappa})$ is the characteristic function on \mathcal{G}_n , $\vec{\varkappa}(\lambda_n, \varphi)$ is given by (29) and $\frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial \varkappa} = (\nabla \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}), \vec{\nu}) |_{\vec{\varkappa} = \vec{\varkappa}_n(\lambda_n, \vec{\nu})}$. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} = \{ \vec{\varkappa} \in \mathcal{G}_n : \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}) < \lambda \}.$$
(30)

This set is Lebesgue measurable, since \mathcal{G}_n is open and $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa})$ is continuous on \mathcal{G}_n .

Lemma 2. $|\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}| \leq 2\pi \lambda^{-(l-1)/l} \varepsilon$ when $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq 1$.

Proof. Considering that $\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} = \{ \vec{\varkappa} \in \mathcal{G}_n : \lambda \leq \lambda_n(\vec{\varkappa}) < \lambda + \epsilon \}$, we get

$$|\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}| = \int_{\mathcal{G}_n} \chi \left(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}, \vec{\varkappa} \right) d\vec{\varkappa} = \int_{\lambda}^{\lambda+\varepsilon} \int_{\Theta_n(\lambda_n)} \frac{\varkappa_n(\lambda_n, \vec{\nu})}{\frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial \varkappa}} d\varphi d\lambda_n,$$

where $\Theta_n(\lambda_n) \subset [0, 2\pi)$ is the set of φ corresponding to $\mathcal{B}_n(\lambda_n)$. Using perturbation formulas (16), (21) we easily arrive at the required inequality.

By (25), $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon}) - E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}) = E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda})$. Let us obtain an estimate for this projection.

Lemma 3. For any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$,

$$\left\| \left(E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon}) - E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}) \right) F \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)}^2 \le C(F) \lambda^{-(l-1)/l} \epsilon, \tag{31}$$

where C(F) is uniform with respect to n and λ .

Proof. Considering formula (25), we easily see that

$$\left(\left(E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon}) - E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda})\right)F,F\right) = \int_{\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon}\setminus\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}} \left|\left(F,\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa})\right)\right|^2 d\vec{\varkappa}.$$

Using estimate (14) uniform in n for every cell of periods covering the support of F and summing up over such cells, we readily obtain

$$\left|\left(F,\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa})\right)\right|^2 < C(F)$$

for all n and $\vec{\varkappa} \in \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}$. Hence, by Lemma 2,

$$\left(\left(E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon}) - E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}) \right) F, F \right) \le C(F) \left| \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \right| \le C(F) \lambda^{-(l-1)/l} \varepsilon.$$

Estimate (31) follows since $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\varepsilon}) - E_n(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda})$ is a projection. \Box

2.2. Sets \mathcal{G}_{∞} and $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$. The sets \mathcal{G}_{∞} , \mathcal{G}_n are given by (12), (22). It is proven in [32] (Theorem 6.10) that

$$\mathcal{G}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{G}_n. \tag{32}$$

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty} = \bigcap_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{G}_n.$$
(33)

Therefore, the perturbation formulas for $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{z})$ and $\Psi_n(\vec{z})$ hold in \mathcal{G}_{∞} for all n. Moreover, coordinates (λ_n, φ) can be used in \mathcal{G}_{∞} for every n.

The following formulas, proven in [32], show that $\lambda^{(n)}(\vec{z})$ and $\Psi_n(\vec{z})$ approach $\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{z})$ and $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{z})$ super-exponentially fast when $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$. Indeed, let \vec{z} belongs to a ring

$$R_{k,2k} = \left\{ \vec{\varkappa} \in \mathbb{R}^2 : k < |\vec{\varkappa}| < 2k \right\}$$
(34)

for some $k: k^{2l} > \lambda_*$. Then,

 ϵ

$$\left|\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{z}) - \lambda^{(n)}(\vec{z})\right| < 24\epsilon_n^4, \quad n \ge 1,$$
(35)

$$\|\Psi_{\infty} - \Psi_n\|_{L_{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)} < Clk^{2l} \epsilon_n^3 |Q_{n+1}|^{1/2}, \quad n \ge 1,$$
(36)

where

$$s_n = \exp\left(-\frac{1}{4}k^{\eta s_n}\right), \quad s_n = 2s_{n-1}, \quad s_1 = (2l - 11)/32$$
 (37)

and Q_n is the elementary cell of periods of the operator H_n . It is formed by the periods $\tilde{N}_n\beta_1, \tilde{N}_n\beta_2$ of $W_n(x)$ and $\tilde{N}_n \approx k^{s_n}$, i.e.,

$$Q_n = [0, \tilde{N}_n \beta_1) \times [0, \tilde{N}_n \beta_1), \quad |Q_n| < C \beta_1 \beta_2 k^{2s_n}.$$
(38)

Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} = \left\{ \vec{\varkappa} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty} : \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}) < \lambda \right\}.$$
(39)

The function $\lambda_{\infty}(\vec{z})$ is a Lebesgue measurable function, since it is a limit of the sequence of measurable functions. Hence, the set $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$ is measurable.

Lemma 4. The measure of the symmetric difference of two sets $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}$ converges to zero as $n \to \infty$ uniformly in λ in every bounded interval:

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} |\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \Delta \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}| = 0,$$

where $A\Delta B = (A \setminus B) \cup (B \setminus A)$.

Proof. Using the relation $\mathcal{G}_{\infty} \subset \mathcal{G}_n$ and estimate (35), we readily check that $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\delta_n}$, $\delta_n = 24\epsilon_n^4$, where ϵ_n is given by (37) with $k = \lambda^{1/2l}$. Therefore,

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\delta_n} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}.$$

Similarly, $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \supset \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda-\delta_n} \cap \mathcal{G}_{\infty}$. Hence,

$$\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \cap \left(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda-\delta_n} \cap \mathcal{G}_{\infty} \right)^c \subset \left(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda-\delta_n} \right) \cup \left(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty} \right).$$

Combining the two, we get

$$\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}\Delta\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}\subset \left(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\delta_n}\setminus\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda-\delta_n}\right)\cup \left(\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}\setminus\mathcal{G}_{\infty}\right),$$

hence,

$$|\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}\Delta\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}| \leq |\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda-\delta_n} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\delta_n}| + |\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty}|$$

Let us consider the first term of the right hand side. Using Lemma 2 with $\varepsilon = 2\delta_n$, we obtain $|\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda-\delta_n} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda+\delta_n}| < 96\pi\lambda^{-(l-1)/l}\epsilon_n^4$. Using (37) for ϵ_n , we conclude easily that the first term goes to zero uniformly in λ . Obviously, $\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}$ is bounded uniformly in n when $n \to \infty$ and λ in every bounded interval. By (32) and (33) the second term goes to zero uniformly in λ in every bounded interval.

2.3. Spectral Projections $E(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. In this section, we show that spectral projections $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ have a strong limit $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as *n* tends to infinity. The operator $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is a spectral projection of *H*. It can be represented in the form $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) = S_{\infty}T_{\infty}$, where S_{∞} and T_{∞} are strong limits of $S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ and $T_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$, respectively. For any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we show:

$$E_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}\right)F = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}} \left(F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa})\right) \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}) d\vec{\varkappa},\tag{40}$$

$$HE_{\infty}\left(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}\right)F = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}} \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}) \big(F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa})\big)\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa})d\vec{\varkappa}.$$
 (41)

Using properties of $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$, we prove absolute continuity of the branch of the spectrum corresponding to functions $\Psi_{\infty}(\vec{z})$.

Now we consider the sequence of operators $T_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ which are given by (27) and act from $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ to $L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. We prove that the sequence has a strong limit and describe its properties.

Lemma 5. The sequence $T_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ has a strong limit $T_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. The operator $T_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ satisfies $||T_{\infty}|| \leq 1$ and can be described by the formula $T_{\infty}F = \frac{1}{2\pi} (F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}))$ for any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. The convergence of $T_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ to $T_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ is uniform in λ for every $F \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. Let $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We consider $T_{\infty}F = \frac{1}{2\pi} (F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}))$. It follows from (36) and (27) that

$$\left| (T_{\infty} - T_n) F(\vec{\varkappa}) \right| < C(F) g_n(\vec{\varkappa}), \quad g_n(\vec{\varkappa}) = lk^{2l} \epsilon_n^3 |Q_{n+1}|^{1/2}, \quad k = |\vec{\varkappa}|.$$

It is easy to see from (37), (38) that $g_n(\vec{z}) \in L_2(\mathcal{G}_\infty)$ for all n and $g_n(\vec{z})$ tends to zero in $L_2(\mathcal{G}_\infty)$ as $n \to \infty$. Therefore, $g_n(\vec{z})$ tends to zero in $L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ uniformly in λ . Hence, $\|(T_\infty - T_n)F\|_{L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})}$ tends to zero uniformly in λ for every $F \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2)$ as $n \to \infty$. Considering $\|T_n\| \leq 1$, we obtain that T_nF has a limit for every $F \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ uniformly in λ . The estimate $\|T_\infty\| \leq 1$ is now obvious. Now we consider the sequence of operators $S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ which are given by (28) with $\mathcal{G}'_n = \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$:

$$S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}): \ L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) o L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$$

We prove that the sequence has a strong limit and describe its properties.

Lemma 6. The sequence of operators $S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ has a strong limit $S_\infty(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. The operator $S_\infty(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ satisfies $||S_\infty|| \leq 1$ and can be described by the formula

$$(S_{\infty}f)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}} f(\vec{\varkappa}) \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}, x) d\vec{\varkappa}$$
(42)

for any $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. The convergence of $S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})f$ to $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})f$ is uniform in λ for every $f \in L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$.

Proof. We start with proving that $S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})f$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for every $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. Since Q_n is the cell of periods of the operator $H^{(n)}$, see (38), the function $\Psi_n(\vec{z}, x)$ is quasiperiodic in Q_n . It can be represented as a combination of plane waves:

$$\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}, x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} c_r^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}) \exp i\langle \vec{\varkappa} + \vec{p}_r(0) / \tilde{N}_n, x \rangle, \tag{43}$$

where $c_r^{(n)}(\vec{z})$ are Fourier coefficients and $\vec{p}_r(0) = (\frac{2\pi r_1}{\beta_1}, \frac{2\pi r_2}{\beta_2})$. The Fourier transform of $\widehat{\Psi}_n$ is a combination of δ -functions:

$$\widehat{\Psi}_n(\vec{\varkappa},\vec{\xi}) = \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} c_r^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}) \delta\big(\vec{\xi} + \vec{\varkappa} + \vec{p}_r(0)/\tilde{N}_n\big).$$

From this, we compute easily the Fourier transform of $S_n f$

$$(\widehat{S_n f})(\vec{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} c_r^{(n)} \left(-\vec{\xi} - \vec{p}_r(0) / \tilde{N}_n \right) f\left(-\vec{\xi} - \vec{p}_r(0) / \tilde{N}_n \right) \chi \left(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}, -\vec{\xi} - \vec{p}_r(0) / \tilde{N}_n \right),$$

where $\chi(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}, \cdot)$ is the characteristic function on $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$. Since $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$ is bounded, the series contains only a finite number of non-zero terms for every $\vec{\xi}$. By Parseval's identity, triangle inequality and a parallel shift of the variable,

$$\begin{split} \|S_n f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} &= \|\widehat{S_n f}\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \left\| c_r^{(n)} \left(-\vec{\xi} - \vec{p_r}(0) / \tilde{N}_n \right) f \left(-\vec{\xi} - \vec{p_r}(0) / \tilde{N}_n \right) \chi \left(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}, -\vec{\xi} - \vec{p_r}(0) / \tilde{N}_n \right) \right\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} = \\ \frac{1}{2\pi} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \|c_r^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa}) f(\vec{\varkappa})\|_{L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})}. \end{split}$$

Assume first that the support of f belongs to a ring $R_{k,2k}$ in (34) for some k such that $k^{2l} > \lambda_*(V)$. Then, the last inequality yields:

$$\|S_n f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f\|_{L_{\infty}(R_{k,2k})} \sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} \|c_r^{(n)}\|_{L_2(R_{k,2k})} \leq \frac{1}{2\pi} \|f\|_{L_{\infty}(R_{k,2k})} \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} p_r^{4l}(0) \|c_r^{(n)}\|_{L_2(R_{k,2k})}^2\right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} p_r^{-4l}(0)\right)^{1/2},$$

where we used Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. By (43), Fourier coefficients $c_r^{(n)}(\vec{z})$ can be estimated as follows:

$$\sum_{r\in\mathbb{Z}^2} p_r^{4l}(0) |c_r^{(n)}(\vec{\varkappa})|^2 \le 4\pi^2 \|\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa},\cdot) \exp\left(-i\langle\vec{\varkappa},\cdot\rangle\right)\|_{W_2^{2l}(Q_n)}^2 |Q_n|^{-1} \tilde{N}_n^{4l} \le 8\pi^2 |\vec{\varkappa}|^{4l} \|\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa},\cdot)\|_{W_2^{2l}(Q_n)}^2 |Q_n|^{-1} \tilde{N}_n^{4l}.$$

Integrating the last inequality over $R_{k,2k}$, we arrive at

$$\sum_{r \in \mathbb{Z}^2} p_r^{4l}(0) \|c_r^{(n)}\|_{L_2(R_{k,2k})}^2 \le ck^{4l+2} |Q_n|^{-1} \tilde{N}_n^{4l} \sup_{\vec{\varkappa} \in R_{k,2k}} \|\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}, \cdot)\|_{W_2^{2l}(Q_n)}^2$$

Considering that $\sum_r p_r^{-4l}(0) < c$, we obtain

$$\|S_n f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < ck^{2l+1} \|f\|_{L_\infty(R_{k,2k})} |Q_n|^{-1/2} \tilde{N}_n^{2l} \sup_{\vec{\varkappa} \in R_{k,2k}} \|\Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}, \cdot)\|_{W_2^{2l}(Q_n)}.$$

Similarly,

$$\| (S_{n+1} - S_n) f \|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} < ck^{2l+1} \| f \|_{L_{\infty}(R_{k,2k})} |Q_{n+1}|^{-1/2} \tilde{N}_n^{2l} \sup_{\vec{\varkappa} \in R_{k,2k}} \| (\Psi_{n+1}(\vec{\varkappa}, \cdot) - \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa}, \cdot)) \|_{W_2^{2l}(Q_{n+1})}.$$

It is proven in [32] (Section 6.2) that

$$\|\Psi_{n+1}(\vec{\varkappa},\cdot) - \Psi_n(\vec{\varkappa},\cdot)\|_{W_2^{2l}(Q_{n+1})} < ck^{2l}\epsilon_n^3 |Q_{n+1}|^{1/2}, \quad n \ge 1, \text{ when } \vec{\varkappa} \in R_{k,2k}.$$
(44)

Using the last estimate, we obtain

$$\|(S_n - S_{n+1})f\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} \le ck^{4l+1} \|f\|_{L_\infty(R_{k,2k})} \tilde{N}_n^{2l} \epsilon_n^3.$$
(45)

Considering that ϵ_n decays super-exponentially with n (see (37)) and the estimate $N_n \approx k^{s_n}$, we conclude that $S_n f$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$ for every $f \in L_\infty(R_{k,2k})$.

If $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$, then we can express it as a sum of functions f_k such that f_k has the support in $R_{k,2k}$ and $||f||_{L_{\infty}(R_{k,2k})} \leq ||f||_{L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})}$. Summing up estimates (45) over all k, we easily see that $S_n f$ is a Cauchy sequence in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. We denote the limit of $S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})f$ by $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})f$.

We see from formula (28) and estimate (36) that

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left(S_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) f \right)(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}} f(\vec{\varkappa}) \Psi_\infty(\vec{\varkappa}, x) d\vec{\varkappa},$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$ when $f \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. Hence, (42) holds.

Since $||S_n|| \leq 1$, the limit $S_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})f$ exists for all $f \in L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$. Note that convergence is uniform in λ for every $f \in L_2(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$. It is obvious now that $||S_{\infty}|| \leq 1$.

Lemma 7. Spectral projections $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ have a strong limit $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ in $L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$, the convergence being uniform in λ for every element. For any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ the operator $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is a projection given by (40) and formula (41) holds.

Proof. By (26), $E_n = S_n T_n$. By lemmas 5 and 6 both S_n and T_n have strong limits S_{∞} , T_{∞} and $||S_n|| \leq 1$, $||T_n|| \leq 1$. It follows easily that E_n has the strong limit $E_{\infty} = S_{\infty} T_{\infty}$. Since E_n is a sequence of projections, its strong limit satisfies the relations: $E_{\infty} = E_{\infty}^*$, $E_{\infty}^2 = E_{\infty}$. Hence E_{∞} is a projection, see e.g. [37]. Using last two lemmas and considering that $T_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ for any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$, we arrive at (40). Applying differential equation $H\Psi_{\infty} = \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{k})\Psi_{\infty}$, we obtain (41). It remains to prove that convergence of $E_n F$ is uniform in λ for every $F \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$. First, let $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. By the triangle inequality,

$$||(E_{\infty} - E_n)F|| \le ||(S_{\infty} - S_n)T_{\infty}F|| + ||S_n(T_{\infty} - T_n)F||.$$

Since $T_n F$ converges to $T_{\infty} F$ uniformly in λ and $||S_n|| \leq 1$, the second term goes to zero uniformly in λ . We see easily from (40) that $T_{\infty}F \in L_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$. Then, by Lemma 6, $S_n T_{\infty}F$ converges to $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ uniformly in λ . This mean that $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ converges to $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ uniformly in λ for $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$. Using $||E_n|| = 1$, we obtain that uniform convergence holds for all $F \in L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Lemma 8. There is a strong limit $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ of the projections $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ as λ goes to infinity.

Corollary 9. The operator $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ is a projection.

Proof. Considering that $\lim_{n\to\infty} E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) = E_\infty(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ and $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is a monotone in λ , we conclude that $E_\infty(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is monotone too. It is well-known that a monotone sequence of projections has a strong limit. \Box

Lemma 10. Projections $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$, $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, and $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ reduce the operator H.

Proof. Let us show $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ reduces H, i.e., $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})Dom(H) \subset Dom(H)$ and $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})H = HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ on Dom(H) (e.g., see Theorem 40.2 in [39]). For any $F, G \in Dom(H) = Dom(H^{(n)}),$

$$(F, E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})HG) = (E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F, HG) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F, H^{(n)}G) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (H^{(n)}E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F, G) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})H^{(n)}F, G) = \lim_{n \to \infty} (H^{(n)}F, E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})G) = (HF, E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})G) = (E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})HF, G).$$

Hence, $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})H$ is symmetric. Since $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is bounded, $(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})H)^* = HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ (e.g., see §115 in [37]). Therefore, $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})H \subset HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ which means that for every $F \in Dom(H)$, $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F \in Dom(H)$ and $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})HF = HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$. Thus, $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ reduces H.

Now we show that $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ reduces H. Noting that $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ is the strong limit of $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ as $\lambda \to \infty$, for any $F, G \in Dom(H)$,

$$(F, E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})HG) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} (F, E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})HG) = \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} (HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F, G)$$
$$= \lim_{\lambda \to \infty} (E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})HF, G) = (E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})HF, G),$$

i.e., $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})H$ is symmetric. Considering $(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})H)^* = HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ as before, we obtain $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})H \subset HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ which means that for every $F \in Dom(H)$, $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})F \in Dom(H)$ and $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})HF = HE(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})F$. Thus, $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ reduces H too. \Box

Lemma 11. The family of projections $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty}, \lambda)$ is the resolution of the identity of the operator $HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ acting in $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)$.

Proof. First, we show that $\lim_{\lambda\to-\infty} E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) = 0$. It is enough to check that $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} = \emptyset$ for every $\lambda < \lambda_*$. We see from the definitions (22) and (30) of \mathcal{G}_n and $\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda}$, respectively, that $\mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda_*} = \emptyset$. It follows from (35) and (39) that $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda_*-\delta_n} \subset \mathcal{G}_{n,\lambda_*}$, here $\delta_n = 24\epsilon_n^4$, $n \geq 2$. Hence, $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} = \emptyset$ for every $\lambda < \lambda_*$.

Second, $\lim_{\lambda\to\infty} E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) = E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ by Lemma 8.

Third, the family $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is left-continuous since each $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is left-continuous and $E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ converges to $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})F$ uniformly in λ for every F (Lemma 7).

Fourth, let $\lambda > \mu$. Then,

$$\left(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})F,G \right) = \left(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})F,E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})G \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})F,E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})G \right) \\ = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})F,G \right) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \left(E_n(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})F,G \right) = \left(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})F,G \right).$$

This means that $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}) = E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}).$ Last, we check that for any $g \in [E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) - E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})]D(H), \ \lambda > \mu,$

$$\mu \|g\|^2 \le \left(Hg,g\right) \le \lambda \|g\|^2. \tag{46}$$

In fact, let

$$g = [E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) - E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})]F, \quad F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2).$$
(47)

By (40) and (41),

$$g(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}} \left(F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{z}) \right) \Psi_{\infty}(x) d\vec{z},$$

$$Hg(x) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}} \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{z}) \left(F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{z}) \right) \Psi_{\infty}(x) d\vec{z},$$

$$\|g\|_{L_2(R^2)}^2 = \left(g, F \right) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}} \left| \left(F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{z}) \right) \right|^2 d\vec{z},$$
(48)

$$(Hg,g) = (Hg,F) = \frac{1}{4\pi^2} \int_{\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}} \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}) \left| \left(F, \Psi_{\infty}(\vec{\varkappa}) \right) \right|^2 d\vec{\varkappa}.$$
(49)

By the definitions of $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}$, the inequality $\mu \leq \lambda_{\infty}(\vec{z}) < \lambda$ holds when $\vec{z} \in \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda} \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu}$. Using the last equality in (49) and considering (48), we obtain (46) for all g given by (47). Since $C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ is dense in Dom(H) with respect to $\|F\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)} + \|HF\|_{L_2(\mathbb{R}^2)}$ norm, inequality (46) can be extended to all $g = [E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}) - E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\mu})]F$, $F \in Dom(H)$.

From five properties of $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ proved above, it follows that $E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})$ is the resolution of identity belonging to $HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ [39].

2.4. Proof of Absolute Continuity. Now we show that the branch of spectrum (semiaxis) corresponding to \mathcal{G}_{∞} is absolutely continuous.

Theorem 12. For any $F \in C_0^{\infty}(\mathbb{R}^2)$ and $0 \le \varepsilon \le 1$,

$$\left|\left(\left(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda+\varepsilon}) - E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})\right)F,F\right)\right| \le C_F \lambda^{-(l-1)/l}\varepsilon.$$
(50)

Corollary 13. The spectrum of the operator $HE_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty})$ is absolutely continuous.

Proof. By formula (40),

$$\left|\left(\left(E_{\infty}(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda+\varepsilon})-E(\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda})\right)F,F\right)\right|\leq C_{F}\left|\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda+\varepsilon}\setminus\mathcal{G}_{\infty,\lambda}\right|.$$

Applying Lemmas 2 and 4, we immediately get (50).

References

- J.Avron, B.Simon Almost Periodic Schrödinger Operators I: Limit Periodic Potentials. Commun. Math. Physics, 82 (1981), 101 – 120.
- [2] V.A.Chulaevski On perturbation of a Schrödinger Operator with Periodic Potential. Russian Math. Surv., 36(5), (1981), 143 – 144.
- J.Moser An Example of the Scrödinger Operator with Almost-Periodic Potentials and Nowhere Dense Spectrum. Comment. Math. Helv., 56 (1981), 198 – 224.
- B.Simon Almost Periodic Schrödinger Operators. A Review. Advances in Applied Mathematics, 3 (1982), 463 – 490.
- [5] L.A.Pastur, V.A.Tkachenko On the Spectral Theory of the One-Dimensional Scrödinger Operator with Limit-Periodic Potential. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 279 (1984), 1050 – 1053; Engl. Transl.: Soviet Math. Dokl., 30 (1984), no. 3, 773 – 776
- [6] L.A.Pastur, V.A.Tkachenko Spectral Theory of a Class of One-Dimensional Scrödinger Operators with Limit-Periodic Potentials. Trans. Moscow Math. Soc., 51 (1989), 115 – 166.
- [7] L.Pastur, A.Figotin Spectra of Random and Almost-Periodic Operators. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [8] J.Avron, B.Simon Cantor Sets and Schrödinger Operators: Transient and Recurrent Spectrum. J. Func. Anal., 43 (1981), 1 – 31.
- S.A.Molchanov and V.A.Chulaevskii Structure of the Spectrum of Lacunary Limit-Periodic Schrödinger Operator. Func. Anal. Appl., 18 (1984), 91 – 92.
- [10] L.Zelenko On a Generic Topological Structure of the Spectrum to One-Dimensional Schrödinger Operators with Complex Limit-Periodic Potentials. Integral Equations and Operator Theory, 50 (2004), 393 – 430.
- M.A.Shubin The Density of States for Selfadjoint Elliptic Operators with Almost Periodic Coefficients. Trudy sem. Petrovskii (Moscow University), 3 (1978), 243 – 275.
- M.A.Shubin Spectral Theory and Index of Elliptic Operators with Almost Periodic Coefficients. Russ. Math. Surveys, 34(2), (1979), 109 – 157.
- J.Avron, B.Simon Almost Periodic Schrödinger Operators. II: The Integrated Density of States. Duke Math. J., 50 (1983), 1, 369 – 391.
- [14] G.V.Rozenblum, M.A.Shubin, M.Z.Solomyak Spectral Theory of Differential Operators. Encyclopaedia of Mathematical Sciences, 64, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [15] Yu. P.Chuburin On the Multidimensional Discrete Schrödinger Equation with a Limit Peridic Potential. Theoretical and Mathematical Physics, 102 (1995), no. 1, 53 – 59.
- [16] L.E.Thomas, Time Dependent Approach to Scattering from Impurities in Crystal. Comm. Math. Phys., 33 (1973), 335 – 343.
- [17] M.M.Skriganov Proof of the Bethe-Sommerfeld Conjecture in Dimension Two. Dokl.Akad. Nauk SSSR, 248 (1979), 1, 49 – 52; English transl. in Soviet Math. Dokl., 20 (1979), 5, 956 – 959.
- [18] B.E.J.Dahlberg, E.Trubowitz, A Remark on Two Dimensional Periodic Potentials. Comment. Math. Helvetici, 57 (1982), 130 – 134.
- [19] M.M.Skriganov The Spectrum Band Structure of the Three-Dimensional Schrödinger Operator with a Periodic Potential. Invent. Math., 80 (1985) 107 – 121.
- [20] O.A. VelievAsymptotic Formulas for Eigenvalues of a Periodic Schrödinger Operator and Bethe-Sommerfeld Conjecture. Functional. Anal. i Prilozhen., 21 (1987), no. 2, 1–15; Engl. transl.: Functional Anal. Appl., 21 (1987), 87 – 99.
- [21] Yu.E.Karpeshina Analytic Perturbation Theory for a Periodic Potential. Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat., 53 (1989), 1, 45-65; English transl.: Math. USSR Izv., 34 (1990), 1, 43 – 63.
- [22] L.Friedlander On the Spectrum for the Periodic Problem for the Schrödinger Operator. Communications in Partial Differential Equations, 15 (1990), 1631 – 1647.
- J.Feldman, H.Knörrer, E.Trubowitz Perturbatively Stable Spectrum of a Periodic Schrödinger Operator. Invent. Math., 100 (1990), 259 – 300.
- [24] J.Feldman, H.Knörrer, E.Trubowitz Perturbatively Unstable Eigenvalues of Periodic Schrödinger Operator. Comment. Math. Helvetici, 66 (1991), 557 – 579.
- [25] P.Kuchment Floquet Theory for Partial Differential Equations. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.
- [26] Yu.Karpeshina Perturbation theory for the Schrödinger operator with a periodic potential. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1663, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [27] B.Helffer and A.Mohamed Asymptotic of the density of states for the Schrödinger operator with periodic electric potential. Duke Math. J., 92(1) (1998), 1 – 60.

- [28] L.Parnovski, A.V.Sobolev On the Bethe-Sommerfeld conjecture for the polyharmonic operator. Duke Math. J., 107 (2001), no 2, 209 – 238.
- [29] L.Parnovski, A.V.Sobolev Lattice points, perturbation theory and the periodic polyharmonic operator. Ann. H. Poincaré 2 (2001), 573 – 581.
- [30] O.A.Veliev. Perturbation Theory for the Periodic Multidimensional Schrödinger Operator and the Bethe-Sommerfeld Conjecture. Int. Journal of Contemporary Mathematical Sciences 2 (2007), no. 2, 19 – 87.
- [31] L.Parnovski Bethe-Sommerfeld Conjecture, Annales Henri Poincare, to appear.
- [32] Yu.Karpeshina, Y.-R.Lee Spectral Properties of Polyharmonic Operators with Limit-Periodic Potential in Dimension Two. Journal d'Analyse Mathematique, 102 (2007), 225 – 310.
- [33] M.M.Skriganov, A.V.Sobolev On the Spectrum of Polyharmonic Operators with Limit-Periodic Potentials. Algebra i Analiz 17 (2005), no. 5, 164 – 189 (in Russian): tranlated in St. Petersburg Math. J., 17 (2006), no. 5, 815 – 833.
- [34] G.Gallavotti, Perturbation Theory for Classical Hamiltonian Systems. Scaling and Self-Similarity in Progr. Phys. 7, edited by J. Froehlich, Birkhäuser, Basel, Switzerland, 1983, 359 – 424.
- [35] L.E.Thomas, S.R.Wassel, Stability of Hamiltonian systems at high evergy. J. Math. Phys., 33(10), (1992), 3367 – 3373.
- [36] L.E.Thomas and S.R.Wassel, Semiclassical Approximation for Schrödinger Operators at High Energy. Lecture Notes in Physics, 403, edited by E. Balslev, Springer-Verlag, 1992, 194 – 210.
- [37] F.Riesz, B. Sz.-Nagy Functional Analysis. Dover Publications, 1990.
- [38] I.M.Gel'fand Expansion in Eigenfunctions of an Equation with Periodic Coefficients. Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR, 73 (1950), 1117-1120 (in Russian).
- [39] N.I.Akhiezer, I.M.Glazman Theory of Linear Operators in Hilbert Space. Dover Publications, New York, 1993.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, CAMPBELL HALL, UNIVERSITY OF ALABAMA AT BIRMINGHAM, 1300 UNIVERSITY BOULEVARD, BIRMINGHAM, AL 35294.

$E\text{-}mail \ address: \texttt{karpeshiQmath.uab.edu}$

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, KOREA ADVANCED INSTITUTE OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY 333 GWAHANGNO, YUSEONG-GU, DAEJEON 305-701, REPUBLIC OF KOREA.

E-mail address: youngranlee@kaist.ac.kr