

the proof of

(509)

A sketch of Blum's theorem.

1° From the Codazzi eqns

$$\nabla_j H_{ia}^M - \nabla_i H_{ja}^M + A_{\mu i}^\nu H_{aj}^\nu - A_{\mu j}^\nu H_{ai}^\nu = 0$$

we have in particular

$$\nabla_1 H_{23}^M - \nabla_3 H_{21}^M + A_{\mu 3}^\nu H_{21}^\nu - A_{\mu 1}^\nu H_{23}^\nu = 0,$$

$$\nabla_1 H_{32}^M - \nabla_2 H_{31}^M + A_{\mu 2}^\nu H_{31}^\nu - A_{\mu 1}^\nu H_{32}^\nu = 0. \quad (1.7)$$

Subtract to see

$$\nabla_2 H_{31}^M - \nabla_3 H_{21}^M \leftarrow A_{\mu 2}^\nu H_{31}^\nu + A_{\mu 3}^\nu H_{21}^\nu = 0. \quad (1.8)$$

Thus the Codazzi relation (1.8) is implied

by the first two (1.7). For $n=3, m=6$ this

reduces the number of independent Codazzi

relations by 3.

2° We can rewrite the Codazzi equations as

$$\epsilon_{ij} \nabla_j H_{ia}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{ij} A_{\mu i}^{\nu} H_{aj}^{\nu} = 0$$

(minus)

Recall the relation

$$\text{cof } H_{ie}^{\mu} \equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} H_{kn}^{\mu} H_{jm}^{\mu}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_e \text{cof } H_{ie}^{\mu} &\equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} (\nabla_e H_{kn}^{\mu}) H_{jm}^{\mu} \\ &= \frac{\partial}{\partial x^e} \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} H_{kn}^{\mu} H_{jm}^{\mu} \quad (\text{by lemma 2}) + \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} H_{kn}^{\mu} (\nabla_e H_{jm}^{\mu}) \\ &= \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} H_{jm}^{\mu} \nabla_e H_{kn}^{\mu} \quad \begin{matrix} \text{(no sum on } \mu) \\ \uparrow \\ \text{(1.9)} \end{matrix} \end{aligned}$$

From the Codazzi equations

$$\epsilon_{lmn} \nabla_e H_{kn}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{lmn} A_{\mu n}^{\nu} H_{ke}^{\nu} = 0, \quad (1.90)$$

$$\epsilon_{lmn} \nabla_e H_{jm}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{lmn} A_{\mu m}^{\nu} H_{je}^{\nu} = 0 \quad (1.10)$$

and substitution of these relations into (1.9)

yields

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla_e (\text{cof } H_{ie}^{\mu}) &\equiv \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} A_{\mu n}^{\nu} H_{ke}^{\nu} H_{jm}^{\mu} \\ &+ \epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{lmn} A_{\mu m}^{\nu} H_{je}^{\nu} H_{kn}^{\mu} = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(no sum on μ)

Interchange m, n and j, k in the above expression to see

$$\nabla_e (\text{cof } H_{ie}^m) \stackrel{(-)}{\neq} \epsilon_{ij\mu} \epsilon_{kmn} A_{\mu mn}^{\nu} H_{je}^{\mu} H_{kn}^{\mu} = 0, \quad (\text{no sum on } \mu)$$

Now sum on μ

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^6 \nabla_e (\text{cof } H_{ie}^m) \stackrel{(-)}{\neq} \epsilon_{ij\mu} \epsilon_{kmn} \sum_{\mu=1}^6 A_{\mu mn}^{\nu} H_{je}^{\mu} H_{kn}^{\mu} = 0$$

The Gauss equations may be written as

$$\sum_{\mu=1}^6 \text{cof } H_{ie}^m = R_{ie}$$

where

$$R = \begin{bmatrix} R_{2323} & R_{2331} & R_{2312} \\ R_{1331} & R_{3131} & R_{3112} \\ R_{2312} & R_{3112} & R_{1212} \end{bmatrix}$$

Thus our equation for divergence of the cofactors becomes for $n=3, m=6$:

~~11~~
~~11~~
~~11~~

$$\nabla_e R_{le} + \overset{-2}{\epsilon_{ijk} \epsilon_{mne}} \sum_{\mu=4}^6 A_{\mu mn} H_{jk}^{\mu} H_{en}^{\mu} = 0$$

first term on the

The left hand side is zero by the second Bianchi identity, i.e.

$$\nabla_e(R_{le})=0, \quad \nabla_e(R_{2e})=0, \quad \nabla_e(R_{3e})=0$$

The ~~right~~ ^{second term on the left} hand side is zero from the

skew symmetry of $A_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}$ in μ, ν . Hence

we have shown a ~~linear~~ combination of
of the Codazzi equations combined with

the Gauss relation gives three

trivial relations $0=0$, and thus

we have reduced the number of

independent Codazzi equations by an
addition 3

3° Now write the Codazzi and

Ricci equations as

$C_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}$

def. $\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_{ij} H_{ca}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{ijk} A_{\mu i}^{\nu} H_{aj}^{\nu} = 0$

$K_{\alpha\beta}^{\mu}$

def. $\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_i A_{\mu j}^{\nu} + \epsilon_{jkn} A_{\gamma c}^{\nu} A_{\mu j}^{\gamma} = 0$
 (= $\epsilon_{ijk} A_{\mu c}^{\nu} A_{\mu j}^{\gamma}$)
 $= g^{\rho\alpha} \epsilon_{ijk} H_{\rho j}^{\mu} H_{\mu\alpha}^{\nu} = 0$

Apply ∇_k to the Codazzi system

$\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j H_{ca}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{ijk} (\nabla_k A_{\mu i}^{\nu}) H_{aj}^{\nu} + \epsilon_{ijk} A_{\mu c}^{\nu} (\nabla_k H_{aj}^{\nu}) = 0$

The last term can be rewritten using

Codazzi as

$\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_k H_{aj}^{\nu} = -\epsilon_{ijx} A_{\mu j}^{\nu} \frac{H_{ax}^{\nu}}{dx}$

Thus

$\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j H_{ca}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_k A_{\mu i}^{\nu} H_{aj}^{\nu} - \epsilon_{ijk} A_{\mu j}^{\nu} \frac{H_{ax}^{\nu}}{dx} A_{\mu c}^{\nu} = 0$

or interchanging j, k in the last term

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j H_{ia}^{\mu} + \epsilon_{ijk} H_{aj}^{\nu} (\nabla_k A_{\mu i}^{\nu} + A_{\mu k}^{\nu} A_{ji}^{\nu}) = 0$$

Finally use the formula for the commutator

$$\epsilon_{ijk} \nabla_k \nabla_j H_{ia}^{\mu}$$

and the Gauss equations

and we recover H_{aj}^{ν} multiplying the

Ricci equations, i.e. If H_{aj}^{ν} is of full

~~rank~~ then the Ricci equations hold.

$$H_{aj}^{\nu} K_{ij}^{\nu} = 0$$

where $K_{ij}^{\mu} = 0$. Since $1 \leq \alpha, j \leq 3$

this gives 9 equations in the nine unknowns

$$K_{ij}^{\nu}$$

Bleim's rank condition asserts

that this system has the unique solution

$$K_{ij}^{\nu} = 0 \quad \text{and the Ricci equations are}$$

satisfied. In other words

~~the covariant divergence~~

$$\nabla_k C_{ka}^{\mu} = 0$$

~~if and~~

if the Gauss and Codazzi equations
(of which there are only $2n$ independent equations)

then the covariant divergence of $C_{\kappa\alpha}^{\mu}$ is
zero,

$$\nabla_{\kappa} C_{\kappa\alpha}^{\mu} = 0$$

and since

$$\nabla_{\kappa} C_{\kappa\alpha}^{\mu} = H_{\alpha\gamma}^{\nu} K_{\nu\mu}^{\mu}$$

we have $K_{\nu\mu}^{\nu} = 0$ under Bleim's rank
condition. Hence we may say $K_{\nu\mu}^{\nu} = 0$

is an involution system carried along by

the Codazzi and Gauss equations.