

Sampling Theory in Abstract Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Space

Yoon Mi Hong

Division of applied mathematics, KAIST
Daejeon, 305-701, Korea
ymhong@amath.kaist.ac.kr

Jong Min Kim

Division of applied mathematics, KAIST
Daejeon, 305-701, Korea
franzkim@amath.kaist.ac.kr

Kil H. Kwon

Division of applied mathematics, KAIST
Daejeon, 305-701, Korea
khkwon@amath.kaist.ac.kr

Abstract

Let H be a separable Hilbert space and $k(t)$ an H -valued function on a subset Ω of the real line \mathbb{R} such that $\{k(t) \mid t \in \Omega\}$ is total in H . Then

$$\{f_x := \langle x, k(t) \rangle_H \mid x \in H\}$$

becomes a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS) in a natural way. Here, we develop a sampling formula for functions in this RKHS, which generalizes the well-known celebrated Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov sampling formula in the Paley-Wiener space of band-limited signals. To be more precise, we develop a multi-channel sampling formula in which each channel is given a rather arbitrary sampling rate. We also discuss stability and oversampling.

Key words and phrases : Sampling, Reproducing Kernel Hilbert space, oversampling

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification— 94A20, 46E22

1 Introduction

Let $f(t)$ be a band-limited signal with band region $[-\pi, \pi]$, that is, a square-integrable function on \mathbb{R} of which the Fourier transform \hat{f} vanishes outside

$[-\pi, \pi]$. Then f can be recovered by its uniformly spaced discrete values as

$$f(t) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} f(n) \frac{\sin \pi(t-n)}{\pi(t-n)},$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly over \mathbb{R} . This series is called the cardinal series or the Whittaker-Shannon-Kotel'nikov (WSK) sampling series. This formula tells us that once we know the values of a band-limited signal f at certain discrete points, we can recover f completely. In 1941 Hardy [4] recognized that this cardinal series is actually an orthogonal expansion.

WSK sampling series was generalized by Kramer [8] in 1957 as follows: Let $k(\xi, t)$ be a kernel on $I \times \Omega$, where I is a bounded interval and Ω is a subset of \mathbb{R} . Assume that $k(\cdot, t) \in L^2(I)$ for each t in Ω and there are points $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in Ω such that $\{k(\xi, t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(I)$. Then any $f(t) = \int_I F(\xi) k(\xi, t) d\xi$ with $F(\xi) \in L^2(I)$ can be expressed as a sampling series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(t_n) \int_I k(\xi, t) \overline{k(\xi, t_n)} d\xi,$$

which converges absolutely and uniformly over the subset D on which $\|k(\cdot, t)\|_{L^2(I)}$ is bounded. While WSK sampling series treats sample values taken at uniformly spaced points, Kramer's series may take sample values at nonuniformly spaced points.

Recently, A. G. Garcia and A. Portal [3] extended the WSK and Kramer sampling formulas further to a more general setting using a suitable abstract Hilbert space valued kernel.

On the other hand, Papoulis [10] (see also [7]) introduced a multi-channel sampling formula for band-limited signals in which a signal is recovered from discrete sample values of several transformed versions of the signal.

In this work, following the setting introduced by Garcia and Portal [3], we first extend and modify Theorem 1 in [3] into a single channel sampling formula (see Theorem 3.2 below), which is more transparent. It is then easy to extend it to a multi-channel sampling formula in which each channel can be given rather arbitrary sampling rate. Comparing two-channel sampling formula, Theorem 3 in [3] and our multi-channel sampling formula, Theorem 3.3, reveals the advantage of modification made in Theorem 3.2. Finally, we also discuss the oversampling and recovery of missing samples in the single-channel sampling formula.

2 Preliminaries

For $f(t) \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$, we let

$$\mathcal{F}(f)(\xi) = \hat{f}(\xi) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(t) e^{-i\xi t} dt$$

be the Fourier transform of $f(t)$ and

$$f(t) = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\hat{f})(t) := \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \hat{f}(\xi) e^{i\xi t} d\xi$$

the inverse Fourier transform of $\hat{f}(\xi)$.

Definition 2.1. For any $w > 0$, the Paley-Wiener space, $PW_{\pi w}$, is defined to be

$$PW_{\pi w} := \{f \mid f \in L^2(\mathbb{R}), \text{ supp } \hat{f} \in [-\pi w, \pi w]\}.$$

Note that $PW_{\pi w}$ is isometrically isomorphic onto $L^2[-\pi w, \pi w]$ under the Fourier transform.

We call a basis $\{\varphi_n\}$ of a separable Hilbert space H to be an unconditional basis of H if for every $f \in H$ the expansion $f = \sum c_n(f)\varphi_n$ still converges to f after any permutation of its terms. We also call a basis $\{\varphi_n\}$ to be a Riesz basis of H if there is a linear isomorphism T from H onto H such that $T(e_n) = \varphi_n$ where $\{e_n\}$ is an orthonormal basis for H . Then any Riesz basis of H is an unconditional basis of H but not conversely in general.

Definition 2.2. [12] A Hilbert space H consisting of complex-valued functions defined on a set $D (\neq \emptyset)$ is called a reproducing kernel Hilbert space (RKHS in short) if there exists a function $k(s, t)$ on $D \times D$ satisfying

- (1) $k(\cdot, t) \in H$ for each $t \in D$;
- (2) $\langle f(s), k(s, t) \rangle_H = f(t)$ for all $f \in H$ and all $t \in D$.

Such a function $k(s, t)$ is called a reproducing kernel of H .

We need some properties of RKHS's.

Proposition 2.3. [5] Let H be a Hilbert space as in Definition 2.2. Then we have:

- (a) H is an RKHS if and only if the point evaluation map $l_t(f) := f(t)$ is a bounded linear functional on H for each $t \in D$;
- (b) an RKHS H has a unique reproducing kernel;
- (c) the convergence of a sequence in an RKHS H implies its uniform convergence over any subset of D on which $k(t, t)$ is bounded.

For example, the Paley-Wiener space $PW_{\pi w}$ is an RKHS with the reproducing kernel $k(s, t) = w \frac{\sin \pi w(s - t)}{\pi w(s - t)}$.

3 Multi-channel sampling

Let H be a separable Hilbert space and $k : \Omega \longrightarrow H$ be an H -valued function on a subset Ω of the real line \mathbb{R} . Define a linear operator T on H by

$$T(x) = f_x := \langle x, k(t) \rangle_H, \quad t \in \Omega.$$

We call $k(t)$ the kernel of the linear operator T .

Lemma 3.1. ([3])

(a) T is one-to-one if and only if $\{k(t) \mid t \in \Omega\}$ is total in H .

Assume $\{k(t) \mid t \in \Omega\}$ is total in H so that $T : H \longrightarrow T(H)$ is a bijection. Then

(b) $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle_{T(H)} := \langle x, y \rangle_H$ defines an inner product on $T(H)$ with which $T(H)$ is a Hilbert space and $T : H \longrightarrow T(H)$ is unitary. Moreover, $T(H)$ becomes an RKHS with the reproducing kernel $k(s, t) := \langle k(t), k(s) \rangle_H$.

Proof. (a) T is one-to-one if and only if $\{k(t) \mid t \in \Omega\}^\perp = \{0\}$ if and only if $\overline{\text{span}}\{k(t) \mid t \in \Omega\} = H$, that is, $\{k(t) \mid t \in \Omega\}$ is total in H .

(b) It is trivial that $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle_{T(H)} := \langle x, y \rangle_H$ defines an inner product on $T(H)$ with which $T : H \longrightarrow T(H)$ is unitary. Now for any $f(\cdot) = \langle x, k(\cdot) \rangle_H$ in $T(H)$ and $t \in \Omega$,

$$|f(t)| = |\langle x, k(t) \rangle_H| \leq \|x\|_H \|k(t)\|_H = \|f\|_{T(H)} \|k(t)\|_H$$

so that $l_t(f) = f(t)$ is a bounded linear functional on $T(H)$. Hence, $T(H)$ is an RKHS by Proposition 2.3. Since

$$f(t) = \langle x, k(t) \rangle_H = \langle T(x)(s), T(k(t))(s) \rangle_{T(H)} = \langle f(s), \langle k(t), k(s) \rangle_H \rangle_{T(H)},$$

the reproducing kernel $k(s, t)$ of $T(H)$ is $\langle k(t), k(s) \rangle_H$. \square

First, we develop a single-channel sampling formula. Let $\tilde{k} : \Omega \longrightarrow H$ be another H -valued function on Ω and \tilde{T} the linear operator on H defined by

$$\tilde{T}(x)(t) = \tilde{f}_x(t) = \langle x, \tilde{k}(t) \rangle_H.$$

Theorem 3.2. If $\text{Ker} T \subseteq \text{Ker} \tilde{T}$ and there exists a sequence $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in Ω such that $\{\tilde{k}(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of H , then T is one-to-one so that $T(H)$ becomes an RKHS under the inner product $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle_{T(H)} := \langle x, y \rangle_H$. Moreover, there is a basis $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $T(H)$ such that, for any $f_x \in T(H)$ the sampling expansion

$$f_x(t) = \sum_n \tilde{f}_x(t_n) S_n(t), \quad t \in \Omega \tag{3.1}$$

holds. The convergence of the series is not only in $T(H)$ but also uniform over any subset on which $\|k(t)\|_H$ is bounded.

Proof. Assume $\tilde{T}(x)(t) = \langle x, \tilde{k}(t) \rangle = 0$ on Ω . Then $\langle x, \tilde{k}(t_n) \rangle = 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $x = 0$ since $\{\tilde{k}(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of H . Hence, $\text{Ker} T = \text{Ker} \tilde{T} = \{0\}$ and $T(H)$ becomes an RKHS as in Lemma 3.1 (b).

Let $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{\tilde{k}(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ and $\{x_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ be its dual. Then $\{T(x_n)\}$ and $\{T(x_n^*)\}$ are bases of $T(H)$, which are dual each other since T is unitary.

Expanding any $f_x = T(x)$ in $T(H)$ via the basis $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{T(x_n^*)\}$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle T(x), T(x_n) \rangle_{T(H)} S_n(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, x_n \rangle_H S_n(t) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, \tilde{k}(t_n) \rangle_H S_n(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) S_n(t). \end{aligned}$$

Uniform convergence of the series (3.1) follows from Proposition 2.3 (c). \square

The single channel sampling expansion (3.1) may not converge absolutely unless $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an unconditional basis and may not be stable. However, if $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an unconditional basis and $\sup_n \|x_n^*\| < \infty$, then (3.1) is a stable sampling expansion, which converges absolutely on Ω . In fact, if then, $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ becomes an unconditional basis of $T(H)$ and $\sup_n \|S_n\| = \sup_n \|x_n^*\| < \infty$. Since $\{\frac{1}{\|S_n\|} S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $T(H)$ by the Köthe-Toeplitz Theorem [9], there is a constant $B > 0$ such that

$$\|f_x\|_{T(H)}^2 \leq B \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\tilde{f}_x(t_n)|^2 \|S_n\|^2 \leq (\sup_n \|S_n\|)^2 B \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} |\tilde{f}_x(t_n)|^2, \quad f_x \in T(H).$$

Furthermore, the sampling series expansion (3.1) remains valid when $\{\tilde{k}(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is not a basis but a frame of H . When $k(t) = k(t)$ on Ω so that $T = \tilde{T}$, Theorem 3.2 is essentially Theorem 1 in [3]. However, Theorem 3.2 might have some advantage over Theorem 1 in [3]. While Theorem 1 in [3] requires first the expansion of the kernel $k(t)$ in terms of a given basis of H and then the interpolatory condition for the expansion coefficients at some points in Ω , Theorem 3.2 simply requires points in Ω , whose values under $k(\cdot)$ form a basis of H .

Now, we can extend Theorem 3.2 naturally to a multi-channel setting. Let $\{k_i\}_{i=1}^N$ be N H -valued functions on Ω and $\{T_i\}_{i=1}^N$ linear operators on H defined by

$$T_i(x) = f_x^i := \langle x, k_i(t) \rangle_H, \quad x \in H.$$

Theorem 3.3. (*Asymmetric nonuniform multi-channel sampling formula*) *If $\text{Ker} T \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker} T_i$, and there exist points $\{t_{i,n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq N, n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \subset \Omega$ and constants $\{\alpha_{i,n}^j \mid 1 \leq i \leq N, 1 \leq j \leq M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ for some integer $M \geq 1$ such that $\{\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,n}^j k_i(t_{i,n}) \mid 1 \leq j \leq M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is an unconditional basis*

of H , then there is a basis $\{S_{j,n} \mid 1 \leq j \leq M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ of $T(H)$ such that for any $f_x = T(x) \in T(H)$,

$$f_x(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^M \overline{\alpha_{1,n}^j} f_x^1(t_{1,n}) + \overline{\alpha_{2,n}^j} f_x^2(t_{2,n}) + \cdots + \overline{\alpha_{N,n}^j} f_x^N(t_{N,n}) \} S_{j,n}(t) \quad (3.2)$$

which converges in $T(H)$. Moreover, the series (3.2) converges absolutely and uniformly on any subset of Ω over which $\|k(t)\|_H$ is bounded.

Proof. First, we prove that T is one-to-one. Suppose $T(x)(t) = \langle x, k(t) \rangle = 0$ for all $t \in \Omega$. Then, $\langle x, k_i(t) \rangle = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq N$ on Ω since $\text{Ker} T \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker} T_i$. In particular, $\langle x, \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,n}^j k_i(t_{i,n}) \rangle = 0$ for all $1 \leq j \leq M$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that $x = 0$ since $\{\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,n}^j k_i(t_{i,n}) \mid 1 \leq j \leq M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a basis of H . Therefore, $T : H \rightarrow T(H)$ is a bijection and $T(H)$ becomes an RKHS under the inner product $\langle T(x), T(y) \rangle_{T(H)} := \langle x, y \rangle_H$ by Lemma 3.1.

Let $x_n^j := \sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,n}^j k_i(t_{i,n})$ for $1 \leq j \leq M$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\{x_n^{j*}\}_{j=1, n \in \mathbb{Z}}^M$ be the dual of $\{x_n^j\}$. Then, $\{T(x_n^j)\}_{j=1, n \in \mathbb{Z}}^M$ becomes an unconditional basis of $T(H)$ with the dual basis $\{T(x_n^{j*})\}_{j=1, n \in \mathbb{Z}}^M := \{S_{j,n}\}_{j=1, n \in \mathbb{Z}}^M$, which is also unconditional.

Expanding $f_x = T(x)$ in $T(H)$ with respect to $\{S_{j,n}\}_{j=1, n \in \mathbb{Z}}^M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^M \langle T(x), T(x_n^j) \rangle_{T(H)} S_{j,n}(t) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^M \langle x, x_n^j \rangle_H S_{j,n}(t) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^M \{ \overline{\alpha_{1,n}^j} f_x^1(t_{1,n}) + \cdots + \overline{\alpha_{N,n}^j} f_x^N(t_{N,n}) \} S_{j,n}(t). \end{aligned}$$

Uniform convergence of the series (3.2) follows from Proposition 2.3 (c). Finally, the series (3.2) converges also absolutely since it is an unconditional basis expansion. \square

If either $\text{Ker} T = \{0\}$ or $k_i(t) = A_i(k(t))$, $1 \leq i \leq N$, where A_i 's are automorphisms of H , then the first assumption $\text{Ker} T \subseteq \cap_{i=1}^N \text{Ker} T_i$ of Theorem 3.3 is trivially satisfied. For example, it is so when $H = L^2[-\pi, \pi]$, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and $k(t) = \frac{e^{-it\xi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}$ so that $T = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the inverse Fourier transform. In particular, if $N = M = 2$, $k_1(t) = k(t)$, $t_{1,n} = t_{2,n} = t_n$, and $\{\alpha_{1,n}^j k(t_n) + \alpha_{2,n}^j k_2(t_n) \mid j =$

$1, 2$ and $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a Riesz basis of H , then Theorem 3.3 is essentially the same as Theorem 3 in [3].

When $H = L^2[-\pi w, \pi w]$ ($w > 0$), $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$ and

$$k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-it\xi}, \quad k_i(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \overline{A_i(\xi)} e^{-it\xi} \quad (1 \leq i \leq N)$$

for suitable bounded measurable functions $A_i(\xi)$ ($1 \leq i \leq N$) on $[-\pi w, \pi w]$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T(\phi)(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi w}^{\pi w} \phi(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(\phi)(t) \\ T_i(\phi)(t) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi w}^{\pi w} A_i(\xi) \phi(\xi) e^{it\xi} d\xi = \mathcal{F}^{-1}(A_i \phi)(t) \quad (1 \leq i \leq N). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $T(H)$ becomes the Paley-Wiener space $PW_{\pi w}$ and then Theorem 3.3 reduces to an asymmetric multi-channel sampling handled in [7].

If $\{\sum_{i=1}^N \alpha_{i,n}^j k_i(t_{i,n}) \mid 1 \leq j \leq M \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a frame of H in Theorem 3.3, then the sampling series expansion (3.2) still holds.

As in the single channel case, if $\sup_{i,j,n} \|\alpha_{i,n}^j x_n^{j*}\| < \infty$, then the multi-channel sampling expansion (3.2) is also stable in the following sense.

Definition 3.4. (cf. Rawn [11] and Yao and Thomas [13]) We say that $\{t_{i,n} \mid 1 \leq i \leq N \text{ and } n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is a set of stable sampling for $T(H)$ if there exists $A > 0$ which is independent of $f_x \in T(H)$ such that

$$\|f_x\|_{T(H)}^2 \leq A \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^N |f_x^i(t_{i,n})|^2, \quad f_x \in T(H).$$

Let $B > 0$ be the upper Riesz bound for the Riesz basis $\{\frac{1}{\|S_{j,n}\|} S_{j,n}\}_{j=1, n \in \mathbb{Z}}^M$ of $T(H)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_x\|_{T(H)}^2 &\leq B \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{j=1}^M \sum_{i=1}^N |\overline{\alpha_{i,n}^j} f_x^i(t_{i,n})|^2 \|S_{j,n}\|^2 \\ &\leq (\sup_{i,j,n} \|\alpha_{i,n}^j S_{j,n}\|)^2 B M \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sum_{i=1}^N |f_x^i(t_{i,n})|^2 \end{aligned}$$

so that (3.2) is a stable sampling expansion with respect to $\{t_{i,n}\}$ when $\sup_{i,j,n} \|\alpha_{i,n}^j x_n^{j*}\| < \infty$.

We now discuss several examples in which we always take $H = L^2[-\pi, \pi]$, $\Omega = \mathbb{R}$, and $k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-it\xi}$ so that $T = \mathcal{F}^{-1}$ is the inverse Fourier transform and $T(H) = PW_{\pi}$.

Example 3.5. (*Sampling with Hilbert transform*)

Take $\tilde{k}(t) = i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) k(t)$ so that $\tilde{T}(f)(t) = f(t)$ is the Hilbert transform of $f(t)$ in PW_π . Choosing $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$, $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{i \operatorname{sgn} \xi \frac{e^{-in\xi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ so that $\{x_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. We then have

$$S_n(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} i \operatorname{sgn}(\xi) \frac{e^{-in\xi}}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{it\xi} d\xi = -\operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{2}(t-n) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(t-n)$$

where $\operatorname{sinc} t := \frac{\sin \pi t}{\pi t}$. Hence, we have

$$f(t) = - \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}(n) \operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{2}(t-n) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(t-n), \quad f(t) \in PW_\pi.$$

Using the operational relation $\tilde{\tilde{f}} = -f$ ([5, Appendix B]) and the fact that if $f \in PW_\pi$, then so does \tilde{f} , we also have

$$\tilde{f}(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} f(n) \operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{2}(t-n) \sin \frac{\pi}{2}(t-n), \quad f(t) \in PW_\pi.$$

Example 3.6. Here, we derive asymmetric derivative sampling formula on PW_π , in which we take samples from $f(t)$ and $f'(t)$ with ratio 2:1.

Take $k_1(t) = k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-it\xi}$ and $k_2(t) = -i\xi k(t) = k'(t)$ so that $f^1(t) = f(t)$ and $f^2(t) = f'(t)$ for $f(t) \in PW_\pi$. Now, take the set of sampling points $\{t_{1,n} = \frac{3n}{2}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $f_x^1(t)$ and $\{t_{2,n} = 3n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ for $f_x^2(t)$. With $\alpha_{1,n}^1 = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}}$, $\alpha_{2,n}^1 = \alpha_{1,n}^2 = 0$, and $\alpha_{2,n}^2 = -\sqrt{3}$, $\{\alpha_{1,n}^1 k_1(t_{1,n}) + \alpha_{2,n}^1 k_2(t_{2,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{\alpha_{1,n}^2 k_1(t_{1,n}) + \alpha_{2,n}^2 k_2(t_{2,n})\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = \{\sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} e^{-i3n\xi/2}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{\sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} i\xi e^{-i3n\xi}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a Riesz basis of $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$, of which the dual (cf. [6]) is

$$\left\{ \sqrt{\frac{3}{4\pi}} \mu_{1,n}(\xi) e^{-i3n\xi/2} \right\} \cup \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{3}{2\pi}} \mu_2(\xi) e^{-i3n\xi} \right\}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\mu_{1,n}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 3(\xi + \pi)/2\pi, & \xi \in [-\pi, -\pi/3]; \\ 1, & \xi \in [-\pi/3, \pi/3]; \\ -3(\xi - \pi)/2\pi, & \xi \in [\pi/3, \pi] \end{cases}$$

if n is even,

$$\mu_{1,n}(\xi) = \begin{cases} 1/2, & \xi \in [-\pi, -\pi/3]; \\ 1, & \xi \in [-\pi/3, \pi/3]; \\ 1/2, & \xi \in [\pi/3, \pi] \end{cases}$$

if n is odd, and

$$\mu_2(\xi) = \begin{cases} -3i/4\pi, & \xi \in [-\pi, -\pi/3); \\ 0, & \xi \in [-\pi/3, \pi/3); \\ 3i/4\pi, & \xi \in [\pi/3, \pi]. \end{cases}$$

Taking inverse Fourier transform on (3.3), we have a Riesz basis $\{S_{1,n}\}_n \cup \{S_{2,n}\}_n$ of PW_π where

$$S_{1,n}(t) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{\frac{2}{3}} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{3} \left(t - \frac{3n}{2} \right) \operatorname{sinc} \frac{2}{3} \left(t - \frac{3n}{2} \right) & \text{if } n \text{ is even,} \\ \sqrt{\frac{3}{8}} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{3} \left(t - \frac{3n}{2} \right) + \operatorname{sinc} \left(t - \frac{3n}{2} \right) \right\} & \text{if } n \text{ is odd,} \end{cases}$$

$$S_{2,n}(t) = -\frac{\sqrt{3}}{2\pi} \operatorname{sinc} \frac{1}{3} (t - 3n) \sin \frac{2\pi}{3} (t - 3n).$$

With these setting we have the nonsymmetric derivative sampling formula:

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{\frac{3}{2}} f\left(\frac{3n}{2}\right) S_{1,n}(t) - \sqrt{3} f'(3n) S_{2,n}(t), \quad f(t) \in PW_\pi.$$

Example 3.7. We now take $k_1(t) = k(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-it\xi}$ and $k_2(t) = e^{i\xi} k(t)$ so that $f^1(t) = f(t)$ and $f^2(t) = f(t - 1)$. We want to express $f \in PW_\pi$ via samples from $f(t)$ and $f(t - 1)$ with ratio 3 : 2. Note that $\{\sqrt{\frac{5}{6\pi}} e^{-i5n\xi/3}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \cup \{\sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} e^{i\xi} e^{-i5n\xi/2}\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ forms a Riesz basis of $L^2[-\pi, \pi]$ with the dual

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{5}{6\pi}} \frac{1}{e^{-i4\pi/5} - e^{-i2\pi/5}} \mu_{1,n}(\xi) e^{-i5n\xi/3} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \\ & \cup \left\{ \sqrt{\frac{5}{4\pi}} \frac{1}{e^{-i4\pi/5} - e^{-i2\pi/5}} \mu_{2,n}(\xi) e^{i\xi} e^{-i5n\xi/2} \right\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \end{aligned} \quad (3.4)$$

where

$$\mu_{1,n}(\xi) = \begin{cases} e^{-i\frac{4}{5}\pi} + e^{-i2n\pi/3} + e^{-i\frac{6}{5}\pi} e^{i2n\pi/3}, & -\pi \leq \xi < -\pi/5; \\ e^{-i\frac{4}{5}\pi} - e^{-i\frac{2}{5}\pi}, & -\pi/5 \leq \xi < \pi/5; \\ -e^{-i\frac{2}{5}\pi} - e^{-i2n\pi/3} - e^{-i\frac{6}{5}\pi} e^{i2n\pi/3}, & \pi/5 \leq \xi \leq \pi \end{cases}$$

and

$$\mu_{2,n}(\xi) = \begin{cases} -(-1)^n e^{-i\frac{8}{5}\pi} - e^{-i\frac{2}{5}\pi}, & -\pi \leq \xi < -\pi/5; \\ 0, & -\pi/5 \leq \xi < \pi/5; \\ e^{-i\frac{4}{5}\pi} + (-1)^n e^{-i\frac{8}{5}\pi}, & \pi/5 \leq \xi \leq \pi. \end{cases}$$

Then, we can obtain the sampling series

$$f(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \sqrt{\frac{5}{3}} f\left(\frac{5n}{3}\right) S_{1,n}(t) + \sqrt{\frac{5}{2}} f\left(\frac{5n}{2} - 1\right) S_{2,n}(t), \quad f(t) \in PW_\pi,$$

where $\{S_{1,n}(t)\} \cup \{S_{2,n}(t)\}$ are the inverse Fourier transforms of functions in (3.4).

4 Oversampling and recovery of missing samples

We now develop an oversampling expansion, which extends the one in Kramer [2]. Again, let k and $\tilde{k} : \Omega \rightarrow H$ be H -valued functions. Assume that there exists $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \subset \Omega$ such that $\{x_n := \tilde{k}(t_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a basis of H with the dual basis $\{x_n^*\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Define linear operators T and \tilde{T} on H by $T(x) = \langle x, k(t) \rangle_H := f_x$ and $\tilde{T}(x) = \langle x, \tilde{k} \rangle_H := \tilde{f}_x$, respectively, and assume $\text{Ker} T \subseteq \text{Ker} \tilde{T}$. Then, both T and \tilde{T} are one-to-one, and so $T(H)$ and $\tilde{T}(H)$ become RKHS's.

Now, let G be a proper closed subspace of H and $P : H \rightarrow G$ the orthogonal projection onto G . Then, for any $x \in G$ we have

$$x = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, \tilde{k}(t_n) \rangle_H x_n^*$$

so that

$$x = P(x) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \langle x, \tilde{k}(t_n) \rangle_H P(x_n^*) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) P(x_n^*). \quad (4.1)$$

Theorem 4.1. *Under the above setting there is a sequence of sampling functions $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $T(G)$ such that for any $x \in G$*

$$f_x(t) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) T_n(t) \quad (4.2)$$

which converges in $T(H)$ and uniformly on any subset of Ω over which $\|k(t)\|_H$ is bounded. Moreover, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Riesz basis of H , then $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is a frame of $T(G)$.

Proof. Applying T on both sides of (4.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned} f_x(t) = T(x)(t) &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) T(P(x_n^*))(t) \\ &= \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) T_n(t), \end{aligned}$$

where $T_n(t) = T(P(x_n^*))(t)$. Since

$$\begin{aligned} |f_x(t) - \sum_{|n| \leq N} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) T_n(t)| &= |T(x) - \sum_{|n| \leq N} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) T(P(x_n^*))| \\ &= |\langle x - \sum_{|n| \leq N} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) P(x_n^*), k(t) \rangle_H| \\ &\leq \|x - \sum_{|n| \leq N} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) P(x_n^*)\|_H \|k(t)\|_H, \end{aligned}$$

the series (4.2) converges uniformly on any subset over which $\|k(t)\|_H$ is bounded. Finally, if $\{x_n\}$ is a Riesz basis of H , then $\{x_n^*\}$ is also a Riesz basis of H so that $\{P(x_n^*)\}$ is a frame of G since G is a closed subspace of H [1, Proposition 5.3.5]. Hence $\{T_n(t) = T(P(x_n^*))(t)\}$ is a frame of $T(G)$. \square

Note that the sample set $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ oversamples functions in $T(G)$ in the sense that $\{t_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ leads to a basis $\{S_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ of $T(H)$ (see Theorem 3.2), which properly contains $T(G)$ but $\{T_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in Theorem 4.1 may be overcomplete in $T(G)$. Hence, we may call (4.2) an oversampling expansion of f_x in $T(G)$ for $x \in G$.

Now assume that finitely many sample values $\{\tilde{f}_x(t_n) \mid n \in X = \{n_1, n_2, \dots, n_N\}\}$ are missing. Applying \tilde{T} on both sides of (4.1) gives

$$\tilde{f}_x(t) = \tilde{T}(x)(t) = \sum_n \tilde{f}_x(t_n) \tilde{T}(P(x_n^*))(t) \quad (4.3)$$

which converges not only in $\tilde{T}(H)$ but also pointwisely in Ω since $\tilde{T}(H)$ is an RKHS. Setting $t = t_{n_j}$ in (4.3), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_x(t_{n_j}) &= \sum_n \tilde{f}_x(t_n) \tilde{T}(P(x_n^*))(t_{n_j}) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq j \leq N \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^N \tilde{f}_x(t_{n_k}) \tilde{T}(P(x_{n_k}^*))(t_{n_j}) + \sum_{n \notin X} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) \tilde{T}(P(x_n^*))(t_{n_j}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq N, \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten in the matrix form as

$$(\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}) \mathbf{f} = \mathbf{h}$$

where $\mathbf{f} = (\tilde{f}_x(t_{n_1}), \dots, \tilde{f}_x(t_{n_N}))^T$ is the column vector consisting of missing samples, $\mathbf{h} = (h_1, \dots, h_N)^T$, where

$$h_j = \sum_{n \notin X} \tilde{f}_x(t_n) \tilde{T}(P(x_n^*))(t_{n_j})$$

and \mathbf{T} is the $N \times N$ matrix with entries

$$T_{ij} = \tilde{T}(P(x_{n_j}^*))(t_{n_i}) = \langle P(x_{n_j}^*), x_{n_i} \rangle_H = \langle P(x_{n_j}^*), P(x_{n_i}) \rangle_H.$$

Note that if $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}$ is invertible, the missing samples \mathbf{f} can be recovered uniquely. In particular, if $\langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle < \|\mathbf{v}\|^2$ for any $\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$, then $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}$ is invertible. We have:

Theorem 4.2. *Under the same hypotheses as in Theorem 4.1, we assume further that $\{x_n\}_n$ is a Riesz basis of H such that $x_n = U(e_n)$ where $\{e_n\}_n$ is an orthonormal basis of H and U is an automorphism of H . If $PU = UP$ and*

$$\text{span}\{e_{n_i} \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\} \cap G = \{0\}, \quad (4.4)$$

then any finitely many missing samples $\{\tilde{f}_x(t_{n_i}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ in the oversampling expansion (4.2) can be uniquely recovered.

Proof. Note first that $x_n^* = (U^*)^{-1}(e_n)$ where $\{x_n^*\}_n$ is the dual of $\{x_n\}_n$. Hence, we have for any $\mathbf{v} = (v_1, \dots, v_N)^T \in \mathbb{C}^N \setminus \{0\}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \mathbf{T}\mathbf{v}, \mathbf{v} \rangle &= \sum_{i,j=1}^N \langle P(x_{n_j}^*), P(x_{n_i}) \rangle_H v_j \bar{v}_i \\ &= \langle P(U^*)^{-1}(\sum_{j=1}^N v_j e_{n_j}), PU(\sum_{i=1}^N v_i e_{n_i}) \rangle_H \\ &= \langle \sum_{j=1}^N v_j e_{n_j}, U^{-1}PU(\sum_{i=1}^N v_i e_{n_i}) \rangle_H \\ &= \langle \sum_{j=1}^N v_j e_{n_j}, P(\sum_{i=1}^N v_i e_{n_i}) \rangle_H \\ &= \|P(\sum_{j=1}^N v_j e_{n_j})\|_H^2 \\ &< \|\sum_{j=1}^N v_j e_{n_j}\|_H^2 = \sum_{j=1}^N |v_j|^2 = \|\mathbf{v}\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since $\sum_{j=1}^N v_j e_{n_j} \notin G$ and $\{e_n\}_n$ is an orthonormal basis of H . Hence, $\mathbf{I} - \mathbf{T}$ is invertible. \square

If, moreover, $\{x_n\}_n$ is an orthonormal basis of H in Theorem 4.2, then any finitely many missing samples $\{\tilde{f}_x(t_{n_i}) \mid 1 \leq i \leq N\}$ can be uniquely recovered when the condition (4.4) holds.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is partially supported by BK-21 project and KOSEF(R01-2006-000-10424-0). Authors are grateful to the referee for his many valuable comments.

References

- [1] O. Christensen, *An introduction to Frames and Riesz bases*, Birkhäuser, Boston, 2003.
- [2] P. J. S. G. Ferreira, Incomplete sampling series and the recovery of missing samples from oversampled band-limited signals, *IEEE Trans. Signal Processing*, **SP-40**(1), 225-227, 1992.
- [3] A. G. Garcia and A. Portal, Sampling in the functional Hilbert space induced by a Hilbert space valued kernel, *Appl. Anal.*, **82**(12), 1145-1158, 2003.
- [4] G. H. Hardy, Notes on special systems of orthogonal functions, IV: The orthogonal functions of Whittaker's cardinal series, *Proc. Camb. Phil. soc.*, **37**, 331-348, 1941.
- [5] J. R. Higgins, *Sampling Theory in Fourier and Signal Analysis: Foundations*, Oxford Science Publications, 1996.
- [6] J. R. Higgins and R. L. Stens, *Sampling Theory in Fourier and Signal Analysis: Advanced Topics*, Oxford Science Publications, 1999.
- [7] Jong M. Kim and Kil H. Kwon, Vector sampling expansion in Riesz basis setting and its aliasing error, preprint.
- [8] H. P. Kramer, A generalised sampling theorem, *J. Math. Phys.*, **63**, 68-72, 1957.
- [9] N. K. Nikol'skiĭ, *Treatise on the shift operator: Spectral function theory*, Springer-Verlag, 1980.
- [10] A. Papoulis, Generalized sampling expansion, *IEEE Trans. Circuits & Systems*, **CAS-24**, 652-654, 1975.
- [11] M. D. Rawn, A stable nonuniform sampling expansion involving derivatives, *IEEE Trans. Info. Theory*, **IT-35**, 1223-1227, 1989.
- [12] S. Saitoh, *Integral Transforms, Reproducing kernels and Their Applications*, Longman, Essex, England, 1997.
- [13] K. Yao and J. B. Thomas, On some stability and interpolatory properties of nonuniform sampling expansions, *IEE Trans. Circuit Theory*, **CT-14**, 404-408, 1967.